# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



# **THESIS**

MEDICARE SUBVENTION: A CASE ANALYSIS OF REIMBURSEMENT ISSUES AFFECTING TRICARE SENIOR PRIME AT NAVAL MEDICAL CENTER, SAN DIEGO

by

David N. Breier

December 1999

Thesis Advisor: Second Reader:

Richard B. Doyle

James A. Scaramozzino

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20000309 033

# **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

gament and a second a second and a second an	-9-4:	st (er er er ee) vvaermigt	on DO 20000.	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE December 1999	3. REPORT 1 Master's The	YPE AND DATES COVERED esis	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE MEDICARE SUBVENTION: A CASE ANAL AFFECTING TRICARE SENIOR PRIME AT	5. FUNDING NUMBERS			
6. AUTHOR(S) Breier, David N.			·	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AE Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	DDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
The views expressed in this thesis are those of the Defense or the U.S. Government.	e author and do not reflect the	official policy or pos	ition of the Department of	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		•	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				

## 13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)

Medicare-eligible military beneficiaries have experienced difficulty accessing the Military Health System. To help alleviate this problem, a three-year demonstration project known as Medicare Subvention has been implemented, creating a Department of Defense Health Maintenance Organization called TRICARE Senior Prime (TSP). This research determined the financial impact of TSP at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). The financial analysis includes an examination of inpatient and outpatient costs and revenues for Fiscal Year (FY) 1999. The results of this research show that TSP indirectly caused a net reduction in operating costs at NMCSD in FY 1999 of \$1.5 million or one percent of the operating budget. The program caused revenues to decline by causing a 20 percent reduction in admissions for non-TSP beneficiaries with other health insurance. Proportionally larger cost reductions resulted from this decrease in non-TSP admissions that outweighed increases in TSP admissions.

admissions.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Medicare Subvention, TRICARE Se	enior Prime		15. NUMBER OF PAGES
,			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

# MEDICARE SUBVENTION: A CASE ANALYSIS OF REIMBURSEMENT ISSUES AFFECTING TRICARE SENIOR PRIME AT NAVAL MEDICAL CENTER, SAN DIEGO

David N. Breier Lieutenant, United States Navy B.B.A., University of Toledo, 1984

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1999

Author:	Low Sec
	David N. Breier
Approved by:	
	Richard B. Doyle, Thesis Advisor
	James & Guranies Lie
	James A. Scaramozzino, Second Ryader
	Reuben T. Harris, Chairman

Department of Systems Management

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### ABSTRACT

Medicare-eligible military beneficiaries have experienced difficulty accessing the Military Health To help alleviate this problem, a three-year System. demonstration project known as Medicare Subvention has been implemented, creating a Department of Defense Health Maintenance Organization called TRICARE Senior Prime (TSP). This research determined the financial impact of TSP at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). The financial analysis includes an examination of inpatient and outpatient costs and revenues for Fiscal Year (FY) 1999. The results of this research show that TSP indirectly caused a net reduction in operating costs at NMCSD in FY1999 of \$1.5 million or one percent of the operating budget. The program caused revenues to decline by causing a 20 percent reduction in admissions for non-TSP beneficiaries with other health insurance. Proportionally larger cost reductions resulted from this decrease in non-TSP admissions that outweighed increases in TSP admissions.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. BACKGROUND	1
	B. OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH	2
	C. RESEARCH QUESTION	4
	D. SCOPE	4
	E. METHODOLOGY	5
II.	MEDICARE SUBVENTION	7
	A. OVERVIEW	7
	B. TRICARE SENIOR PRIME (TSP)	7
	C. PROGRAM BENEFITS	8
	D. REIMBURSEMENT	LO
	E. RECONCILIATION	1
	F. DEMONSTRATION SITE ISSUES	.3
III.	METHODOLOGY	.9
	A. OVERVIEW 1	.9 <sup>.</sup>
	B. SOURCES OF DATA	.9
	C. SYSTEMS OVERVIEW	0 :
	D. REVENUE ANALYSIS	:2
	1. Inpatient Revenue Analysis2	2
	2. Outpatient Revenue Analysis2	3
	3. Subsistence Revenue Analysis	4

	E.	COST	ANALYSIS	2
		1.	Inpatient Cost Analysis	2
		2.	Outpatient Cost Analysis	2
	F.	SUMM	ARY	2
IV.	DAT	ra ana	ALYSIS	2
	Α.	OVERV	/IEW	29
	В.	REVEN	NUE ANALYSIS	29
		1.	Inpatient Revenue Analysis	30
		2.	Outpatient Revenue Analysis	32
		3.	Subsistence Revenue Analysis	33
	c.	COST	ANALYSIS	34
		1.	Inpatient Cost Analysis	34
		2.	Outpatient Cost Analysis	35
	D.	SUMMA	RY	37
V.	CC	NCLUS	IONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	39
i	Α.	CONCL	usions	39
		1.	Revenue Implications	39
		2.	Cost Implications	41
I	В.	RECOM	MENDATIONS	44
APPEND	IX	A (IN	NPATIENT COST & REVENUE ANALYSIS)	47
APPEND	IX	B (OU	TPATIENT TSP ENROLLEE REVENUE ANALYSIS)	51
מואבורות א	T 32	C (OT	IMDAMIENM NON MED DEVENUE ANALVETEL	55

APPENDIX D (OUTPATIENT COST ANALYSIS)	59
APPENDIX E (INPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS)	61
LIST OF REFERENCES	63
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	67

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. Richard Doyle and Captain

James Scaramozzino, MSC, USN for their expert assistance in
the preparation of this research. I would also like to
thank the staffs of the Financial Management and Management
Information Departments of Naval Medical Center, San Diego
(NMCSD). Their assistance in data collection and analysis
was instrumental in making this research possible.

I would like to give special thanks to Mr. Mike Clark, Mr. John Sullivan, Mr. Don Lester, Ms. Carol Williams, Mr. B.J. Herndon, Ms. Angie Martinez, Ms. Judy Shane and Lieutenant Junior Grade Michael Yonkers of NMCSD. All these individuals provided invaluable data and insights into the format and content of this research.

## I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. BACKGROUND

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 authorized the

Department of Defense (DoD) to conduct a three-year

demonstration project known as Medicare Subvention [Ref. 1].

This law amends the Social Security Act and allows Medicareeligible military retirees to enroll in the DoD health

maintenance organization known as TRICARE Senior Prime

(TSP). Before the enactment of this legislation, military

retirees over the age of 65 were eligible to receive care in

Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) only on a spaceavailable basis.

The Medicare Subvention demonstration project is taking place at six DoD test sites. Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD) is one of these test sites and is the focus of this thesis. Enrollment for this project began in August 1998. Enrollment levels were determined by DoD for all test sites based on a percentage of the Medicare-eligible population. DoD hospitals had been seeing Medicare-eligible retirees before the implementation of this demonstration project. This historic "level of effort" is a baseline that each MTF must achieve before receiving any reimbursement from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA).

Reimbursement is at a capitated rate per individual based on enrollment. [Ref. 2]

Fiscal year 1996 is the base year for determining the historical "level of effort" related to Medicare-eligible military retirees. The workload and accounting systems used by DoD make it difficult to determine cost per beneficiary. The validity of the data used from these systems is also in question. [Ref. 3]

Three benefits are expected to be achieved from this demonstration project. The Military Health System (MHS) benefits by using excess capacity and receiving additional funding in the form of Medicare reimbursement. The Health Care Financing Administration benefits by paying less per enrollee to DoD than they would have paid to private insurance companies. The military retirees benefit by obtaining improved access to the military health care system. [Ref. 3]

#### B. OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

Military retirees have traditionally received their medical care within the MHS. In the post-Korean War period, the MHS was easily able to accommodate the retired beneficiaries, which made up only eight percent of the total eligible population [Ref. 4]. The retired military

population, age 65 and older, has continued to increase to its 1999 level of 1.3 million [Ref. 3]. Retired military and their beneficiaries represent 50 percent of the total population eligible to use the MHS [Ref. 5].

This growth over the last 40 years has resulted in an increased strain on the MHS. The demands on the system have been compounded by the loss of 35 percent of DoD medical treatment facilities over the last 10 years [Ref. 5]. This decline resulted from four rounds of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) actions initiated in the late 1980s. The combination of these two factors has gradually limited access to the MHS for many retirees.

TRICARE is the managed care health care program for DoD, which provides care for military family members and retirees, outside the MTFs. Military retirees over the age of 65 are not eligible for care under the TRICARE system. Military retirees feel that their inability to access the military health care violates the government's promise of free health care for life. The issue of medical care is the number one issue of concern for military retirees [Ref. 6].

Medicare Subvention is one possible solution to this problem. It allows DoD to bill Medicare for treatment provided to military retirees over the age of 65. The objective of this research is to determine the financial

feasibility of the Medicare Subvention project based on an analysis of the demonstration underway at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). I expect to determine the net impact on operating revenues at NMCSD for FY 1999, an important factor in determining the cost-effectiveness of this project. My analysis will focus on cost associated with TSP enrollees and the effects of this program on Third Party Collections (TPC) revenues.

# C. RESEARCH QUESTION

The primary question of this thesis is, what impact has the Medicare Subvention demonstration project had on operating revenues at Naval Medical Center, San Diego?

There are two important secondary questions that I will try to answer. What impact has Medicare Subvention had on TPC revenues? What impacts have TSP enrollees had on operating costs?

### D. SCOPE

This thesis will focus on the financial impacts of the Medicare Subvention project. It will use Naval Medical Center, San Diego as a case study for this analysis. The thesis will provide an explanation of how an individual MTF, participating in the Medicare Subvention project, will be reimbursed. This will be based on data provided in the

Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between DoD and HCFA, information provided by the TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) and interviews with NMCSD staff.

The analysis will start with the reimbursement amounts received by NMCSD from TMA. The research will not attempt to determine the accuracy of these amounts. This reimbursement figure will be used as a starting point in determining the net financial impact of the Medicare Subvention program on NMCSD for 1999.

The majority of the data used for the analysis will come from the TPC program. Financial data from both the Third Party Outpatient Collection System (TPOCS) and the Composite Health Care System (CHCS) will be used. Cost data for clinic operations will be obtained from the Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System (MEPRS). Aggregate cost data will be used to analyze the impact on outpatient clinic operations. This analysis will be able to isolate revenues associated with retirees enrolled in TSP using TPOCS and CHCS information.

#### E. METHODOLOGY

The MTFs participating in the Medicare Subvention project are able to track the status of the program using an interim payments report. This report is produced monthly by

the TMA and determines the "profitability" of each MTF, and the program as a whole. The earnings figure for NMCSD that appears on this report will be the starting point for the financial analysis of this thesis.

Revenue effects for NMCSD relating to this program will focus on third party collections. These reimbursable revenues are received from third party insurance payers for care provided to military beneficiaries at the MTF. The research will examine both inpatient and outpatient collections over the past two years, attributable to TSP enrollees. Prior years' revenue will be compared to 1999 revenue to determine the effect of the Medicare Subvention program.

Cost effects will focus on the cost per visit in various outpatient clinics at NMCSD. Aggregate cost data will be obtained using information contained in MEPRS. The prior two years will be compared to 1999 to determine operational cost impacts relating to this program. The visit codes for TSP enrollees will be obtained from data available in TPOCS and CHCS.

The result of this financial analysis will be to determine the net profit or loss of the Medicare Subvention program at NMCSD.

### II. MEDICARE SUBVENTION

#### A. OVERVIEW

The purpose of Medicare subvention is to allow Medicare to reimburse DoD for treatment provided to Medicare-eligible retirees and their family members [Ref. 7]. This was made possible by the enactment of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. The legislation authorized a three-year demonstration project at six different sites [Ref. 1]. This program became necessary because military retirees age 65 and older have found it increasing difficult to obtain care in the MHS. This has resulted from an increasing retired population and decreasing MHS capabilities.

## B. TRICARE SENIOR PRIME (TSP)

TRICARE Senior Prime (TSP) is the DoD managed care program for dual-eligible military beneficiaries. The term "dual-eligible" refers to military retirees and their beneficiaries who are eligible for both Medicare and care in the MHS [Ref. 2]. This program operates like other commercial Medicare Health Maintenance Organizations (HMO) and must provide all of the benefits required under Part C of title XVIII of the Social Security Act [Ref. 8].

The TSP program is open to both military retirees and their dependents. These individuals are required to meet certain eligibility requirements before enrolling in TSP.

These requirements include being eligible for Medicare Part A, enrolled in Medicare Part B, 65 or older, and have received care in the MHS before July 1, 1997 [Ref. 8].

Medicare Part A covers hospital, nursing home and hospice care, while Medicare Part B covers physician services, outpatient care and medical supplies [Ref. 9].

Enrollment in TSP is voluntary, but only a limited number of individuals may enroll per catchment area. A catchment area is defined as a 40-mile radius around an MTF [Ref. 3]. The demonstration site at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD) has a total of 35,619 dual-eligible beneficiaries and an enrollment ceiling of 4000 [Ref. 3]. As of June 3, 1999, enrollment at NMCSD was 3010 [Ref. 10].

#### C. PROGRAM BENEFITS

The goal of the TSP program is to provide a costeffective alternative for delivering health care to dualeligible military beneficiaries [Ref. 5]. The program is
designed to provide benefits to each of the parties
involved.

The dual-eligible military beneficiaries benefit by obtaining access to the MHS, which they have been promised as part of their military service. The enrollment fee for the first year of the program has been waived so there is no initial cost to join the program [Ref. 2]. Enrollees receive the additional benefits of outpatient pharmacy services and preventive services that are not a part of Medicare.

The Department of Defense benefits in several ways.

The primary benefit is that DoD receives reimbursement from Medicare for dual-eligible retirees once a predetermined "level of effort" (LOE) is achieved. The LOE is the historic amount that the MHS has spent on dual-eligible military retirees. The baseline LOE for each demonstration site was determined using FY96 expenditures for those test sites.

The calculation of LOE excludes expenditures for Graduate Medical Education (GME), outpatient pharmacy services, and 67 percent of capital expenditures. The LOE for NMCSD is \$27 million. [Ref. 2]

A second benefit is that the MHS is able to use excess capacity available in the system. This helps maintain existing infrastructure to meet readiness requirements and enhances the medical training programs at the MTF [Ref. 5].

Medicare is the third party that is expected to benefit from this program. They are now able to treat the MHS as a risk-type HMO like other commercial Medicare+Choice plans. The benefit to Medicare is that it pays DoD 95 percent of the county-based rate it would normally pay to commercial HMOs [Ref. 1]. These payments are made only after DoD achieves the predetermined LOE. Medicare payments to DoD are capped at \$50 million for year one, \$60 million for year two, and \$65 million for year three of the demonstration project [Ref. 2].

#### D. REIMBURSEMENT

The reimbursement process for this demonstration project contains several essential components, each of which will be discussed in this section. Reimbursements by HCFA to DoD are contingent on both enrollment in TSP and expenses incurred treating dual-eligible retirees in the MHS.

Reimbursement by HCFA to DoD will be at a modified per capita rate. This rate is 95 percent of the Medicare+Choice rate for the county where the demonstration site is located. This rate varies from month to month and will be multiplied by the number of TSP enrollees in a given month.

Reimbursement will be calculated for each of the six demonstration sites on a monthly basis. The cap on

reimbursements from HCFA to DoD will be based on the total for all six demonstration sites. [Ref. 2]

Reimbursement by HCFA will be accomplished through interim monthly payments. These interim monthly payments are initiated once a prorated percentage of the LOE is achieved. For 1999, that threshold is 30 percent. Each site has a different LOE based on historic expenditures. The LOE will remain constant for the three-year demonstration project and is prorated for 1999 based on the actual start of the program. The LOE for Naval Medical Center, San Diego is \$27 million. Interim payments are made by HCFA to the DoD Office of Health Affairs, which distributes these funds to the individual test sites.

The total reimbursements by HCFA to DoD are capped for each year of the demonstration project. The cap is designed to limit the risk to the Medicare Trust Fund. The MHS is required to continue to provide care to TSP enrollees even after the cap is achieved. The total caps are \$50 million for year one, \$60 million for year two, and \$65 million for year three. [Ref. 2]

## E. RECONCILIATION

The interim payments provided by HCFA to DoD are not the end of the reimbursement process. At the end of each

demonstration year, HCFA and DoD conduct a formal reconciliation process to determine if reimbursement amounts are appropriate. This process examines several important factors.

The LOE consists of expenditures related to TSP enrollees and those related to dual-eligible retirees not enrolled in TSP. Expenses related to space-available care, provided to non-enrolled beneficiaries, are capped for each demonstration year. Once this cap is reached, none of these expenses can be counted towards the total level of effort. This cap is based on the combined LOE for all six test sites, while the interim payments are based on LOE at each individual site. [Ref. 2]

The combined LOE is key in determining if individual test sites will be allowed to retain the interim payments received from HCFA. The demonstration project, as a whole, must achieve both the combined LOE and the TSP enrollee threshold for any site to be eligible to retain interim reimbursements. The TSP enrollee thresholds are 30 percent for year one, 40 percent for year two, and 50 percent for year three. These combined six-site thresholds must be met for any of the individual sites to be eligible for reimbursement from HCFA. [Ref. 2]

Once it has been determined that the combined LOE has been achieved, the amount of reimbursement is examined. The interim payments received by DoD from HCFA may be adjusted up or down, based on two factors. The capitated rate per enrollee can be adjusted based on the level of risk assigned to each enrollee. For example, DoD would receive a higher rate per TSP enrollee if it were determined that TSP enrollees were in a higher risk category than non-enrollees. [Ref. 2]

The other factor affecting reimbursement is determining the accuracy of gross monthly payments. This total may be adjusted up or down depending on verification of final LOE calculations. The reconciliation process is estimated to be completed six months after the end of each demonstration year. [Ref. 2]

#### F. DEMONSTRATION SITE ISSUES

There are several important issues related to the TSP program that directly affect the operations at each test site. These issues will be discussed in this section and are relevant to each one of the TSP demonstration sites.

The first issue concerns the distribution and timing of funds received by DoD from HCFA. Interim payments by HCFA to DoD go to the TRICARE Management Activity (TMA) and not

the individual test sites. DoD has not indicated how or when the interim payments will be issued to the individual test sites. This requires the sites to fund the demonstration project out of appropriated funds until reimbursement is received from TMA. [Ref. 3]

Two issues related to the disbursement process are reconciliation and liability for network claims. As mentioned in the previous section, the reconciliation process will take roughly six months and will determine the final reimbursement to DoD for the prior demonstration year [Ref. 3]. This means the individual test sites will not know until halfway through the next fiscal year what their actual TSP reimbursement will be.

The other disbursement issue is test site liability for network claims relating to TSP enrollees. Certain Medicare benefits such as home health care and skilled nursing care are not available at the MTF. These two services alone account for one-seventh of the Medicare cost per beneficiary [Ref. 3]. These services, along with other referrals, must be obtained through commercial providers outside the MHS. The claims associated with the TSP demonstration project are being paid by TMA. The paid claims amounts are deducted from Medicare revenues earned by each site. This further reduces the reimbursement individual sites can expect and

can potentially result in a negative cash flow for any given site.

How Medicare reimbursements are issued to the demonstration sites is closely related to the cost impact of the TSP program. The cost to treat TSP enrollees is highly dependent on their overall level of health. This level of health drives the type and frequency of health care services provided to each TSP enrollee. A significant percentage of high-risk TSP enrollees would result in an increase in operational cost for the MTF. A risk-adjustment method for TSP enrollees has yet to be determined by HCFA and DoD [Ref. 3].

The timing of reimbursement combined with the operational cost of the demonstration project imposes budget pressures on each test site. The additional cost associated with TSP enrollees can limit the ability of the MTF to provide care to other beneficiaries. Dual-eligible retired beneficiaries not enrolled in TSP would be the first group affected. These individuals are only eligible for space-available care and are last on the priority list for access to the MHS. [Ref. 3]

The next issue is the impact of the TSP program on Third Party Collection (TPC) revenues. The TPC program allows the MHS to bill commercial insurance companies for

care provided to covered beneficiaries [Ref. 11]. Surveys indicate that approximately 50 percent of dual-eligible military retirees have private health insurance [Ref. 4]. The MTF is authorized to bill the private insurance companies of TSP enrollees [Ref. 12]. This other health insurance is carried in additional to a Medicare HMO policy. Enrollees in TSP agree to use TRICARE as their Medicare HMO [Ref. 2]. The number of TSP enrollees that have other health insurance will affect TPC revenues. The number of TSP enrollees that have dropped their private health insurance will also affect these revenues.

The other impact on TPC revenues will come from dualeligible military retirees that are not enrolled in TSP.
This group will affect TPC revenues if they are no longer
able to obtain space-available care. As mentioned before,
this group has the lowest priority for access to the MHS and
is the first group affected if MTF operating capacity is
exhausted.

The TSP demonstration project has an impact on both cost and revenues at each demonstration site. This chapter has highlighted how the program will work and has addressed the financial ramifications. The next chapter will detail the methodology used to analyze the financial issues that affect a demonstration site. Naval Medical Center, San

Diego will be the focus of this analysis but it will be applicable to all test sites in the demonstration project.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### III. METHODOLOGY

#### A. OVERVIEW

The methodology used in this thesis will be in the deductive mode using archival research. Deduction is the process by which a hypothesis is tested. I will test the hypothesis that TSP is a cost-effective program.

Archival research is concerned with the examination of recorded facts. This research will examine actual cost and revenue data from Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). The data was obtained from the Third Party Outpatient Collections System (TPOCS), the Composite Health Care System (CHCS), and the Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System (MEPRS). These systems would be classified as secondary archival domains. The formal technique of sampling will be used to analyze the data. The research is designed to determine what impact the TSP program is having on operating revenues at NMCSD. [Ref. 13]

## B. SOURCES OF DATA

The data used in this research was obtained from archival records at NMCSD. The sources for this data were TPOCS, CHCS, and MEPRS. Data from fiscal years 1997, 1998, and 1999 were used for the analysis. Outpatient revenue

information was obtained by extracting actual paid claims from TPOCS. Inpatient revenues were obtained by matching 65 and over admissions from CHCS with third party claims information. Cost information was generated using cost per outpatient visit and cost per occupied bed day from MEPRS.

#### C. SYSTEMS OVERVIEW

There are several medical information management systems that are being used in connection with this thesis. This section will provide a brief description of the systems that were used.

The Third Party Outpatient Collection System (TPOCS) is an accounting system used to process third party insurance billings and payments. It contains outpatient encounters for beneficiaries of the MHS that carry other health insurance. Data fields include patient social security number, date of birth, control number (visit), amount billed, amount paid, and MEPRS code (clinic identification). The MEPRS system will be explained in a subsequent paragraph. Data obtained from TPOCS provided outpatient revenue and clinic visit information for beneficiaries age 65 and older who have other health insurance. [Ref. 14]

The Composite Health Care System (CHCS) is an automated medical information system that provides comprehensive

patient information. The functions include patient registration, admissions, outpatient data, and clinical information. This system was used to obtain inpatient admissions and treatment data for TSP enrollees. An ad hoc report was generated to obtain inpatient admissions data for all military beneficiaries age 65 and older for fiscal years 1997, 1998, and 1999. [Ref. 15]

The Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System (MEPRS) contains standardized expense, manpower, and workload data for MTFs within DoD. This system is used to summarize cost and workload data in order to determine cost of operations. The MEPRS codes obtained from TPOCS were used to determine outpatient costs associated with the TSP program. This analysis was limited to the TSP beneficiaries who have other health insurance. This was the initial step in determining the cost impact of the TSP program at NMCSD. [Ref. 16]

The MHS uses MEPRS as their cost accounting system.

This system provides the ability to determine the average cost per visit at an individual outpatient clinic. The system also provides cost per occupied bed day for inpatient admissions. Cost information from this system was used in conjunction with MEPRS data obtained from TPOCS to determine outpatient costs associated with the TSP demonstration

project. Inpatient costs associated with TSP were calculated using MEPRS cost data and CHCS inpatient data. [Ref. 16]

The other sources of information were various internal tracking reports prepared by the Business Office of NMCSD.

These reports included TSP enrollment data, third party collection summaries, and inpatient claims information.

These reports provided a starting point by which to track TSP enrollee activity.

#### D. REVENUE ANALYSIS

To evaluate the data collected I used the process of differential analysis. Differential analysis is the process of estimating revenues and costs of alternatives and then comparing them to the status quo [Ref. 17]. The focus of this analysis is to compare TPC revenues before and after the implementation of TSP. Data from fiscal years 1997 and 1998 will be compared to fiscal year 1999 data, which is the first year of the TSP demonstration project.

# 1. Inpatient Revenue Analysis

The first part of the revenue analysis concerns the effect of TSP on inpatient revenues. This analysis compares the TPC inpatient revenues of TSP enrollees for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. The actual TSP enrollment listing is used as the basis for this analysis. These beneficiaries

are matched against admissions in CHCS to determine the actual number of inpatient encounters. This admissions information is then used to determine inpatient collections for these individuals for each fiscal year. Total revenues and average revenue per admission for fiscal years 1997 and 1998 are compared against the same categories for 1999. This analysis will identify changes in TPC inpatient revenues since the inception of the TSP program.

The inpatient analysis will also include a comparison of TSP admissions to all 65 and older admissions for the years 1997 through 1999. This analysis will determine the percentage change of TSP admissions by comparing 1997 and 1998 with 1999.

## 2. Outpatient Revenue Analysis

The second part of the revenue analysis concerns the effect of TSP on TPC outpatient revenues. This analysis is broken into two parts. The first part is a differential analysis of TPC outpatient revenues related to TSP enrollees. This analysis begins with the TSP enrollment listing. Actual enrollees are matched against the TPOCS database to determine which ones have other health insurance. This process produces actual paid TPC claims for these individuals for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Total

revenues and average revenue per visit for fiscal years 1997 and 1998 are compared against the same information for 1999.

The second part of the outpatient revenue analysis looks at the effect of TSP on the 65 and older population who have other health insurance but are not enrolled in TSP. This analysis compares TPC outpatient revenues for 1997 and 1998 against 1999 using the entire 65 and older retired population that has other health insurance. The purpose of this portion of the analysis is to determine what effect TSP is having on TPC outpatient revenues related to dualeligible beneficiaries not enrolled in the program.

# 3. Subsistence Revenue Analysis

The final part of the revenue analysis determines lost revenues from inpatient subsistence charges. Subsistence is an inpatient per day charge that covers meals and other incidental expenses. This charge was waived for TSP enrollees as part of the demonstration project [Ref. 2]. Total inpatient subsistence charges associated with TSP enrollees will be identified.

#### E. COST ANALYSIS

To evaluate the cost impacts of the TSP program I used differential cost analysis combined with activity-based costing. Activity-based costing is a costing method that

assigns costs to activities in order to calculate the total cost of a product or service. The product in this case is the TSP demonstration project and the activities are inpatient admissions and outpatient clinic operations.

Activity-based costing uses a cost driver to calculate total costs. A cost driver is a factor that causes an activity's cost. The inpatient cost driver is occupied bed days and the outpatient cost driver is clinic visits. [Ref. 17]

## 1. Inpatient Cost Analysis

Inpatient cost for the TSP program is determined by multiplying the cost per occupied bed day by the number of inpatient days for TSP enrollees. This rate varies based on the type of admission (e.g., surgical, medical, orthopedic, etc.). Inpatient admissions data for TSP enrollees was obtained from CHCS. The inpatient costs related to TSP enrollees are calculated for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Fiscal years 1997 and 1998 are compared to 1999 to determine the impact of the TSP program.

## 2. Outpatient Cost Analysis

The outpatient cost analysis is limited to TSP enrollees that have other health insurance. Outpatient costs for the TSP program are determined by multiplying the average cost per visit by the total number of visits. The average cost is different for each clinic and was obtained

from MEPRS. The outpatient visit data for TSP enrollees was obtained using MEPRS codes available in TPOCS. The outpatient costs related to TSP enrollees with other health insurance are calculated for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Fiscal years 1997 and 1998 are compared to 1999 to determine the impact of the TSP program. The costs associated with TSP enrollees who have other health insurance will be used to make projections about the entire TSP beneficiary population.

### F. SUMMARY

It should be pointed out that both the cost per occupied bed day and the average cost per outpatient visit is derived from aggregate cost information contained in MEPRS. This cost data includes Graduate Medical Education (GME), outpatient pharmacy expenses, and capital expenditures that are excluded from the LOE calculation. The consistent use of this data for fiscal years 1997, 1998, and 1999 allows for a representative cost analysis of the TSP demonstration project at NMCSD. This cost analysis is for comparison purposes only and is not intended to represent actual cost incurred in the implementation of TSP at NMCSD.

The cost and revenue analysis is based on information related to the TSP demonstration project at NMCSD. This chapter explained the methodology that will be used to perform this analysis. The next chapter will provide the details of the actual analysis and projections based on the results. The data used in both the cost and revenue analysis covers the period from October 1, 1996 through July 31, 1999.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### IV. DATA ANALYSIS

### A. OVERVIEW

This chapter will present the results of the data analysis of the TRICARE Senior Prime demonstration project at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). Revenue and cost data for fiscal years 1997 through 1999 was used for this analysis. The outpatient analysis was limited to beneficiaries age 65 and older who have other health insurance. The inpatient analysis included all beneficiaries age 65 and older who were admitted to NMCSD. Projections for the last month of fiscal year 1999 were made based on actual data from the first eleven months of the fiscal year. Projected outpatient collections for billings in fiscal year 1999 were based on average collection rates from fiscal year 1998.

## B. REVENUE ANALYSIS

This revenue analysis presents data from fiscal years 1997 through 1999. The insurance industry changed the way they treated MTFs in 1998. Military treatment facilities are now considered the secondary payer to Medicare. This means that MTFs are only entitled to the Medicare deductible of \$760 for inpatient admissions and 20 percent of the

Medicare allowable charge for outpatient visits [Ref. 18]. This policy change reduced collections and increased write-offs beginning in 1998, primarily on the outpatient side. It had little impact on inpatient revenues since military MTFs were already treated as a secondary payer to Medicare for inpatient admissions.

## 1. Inpatient Revenue Analysis

The first part of the inpatient revenue analysis examines revenues associated with TSP enrollees. Appendix A provides a breakdown of inpatient revenues for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Admissions for the TSP population increased 58 percent between 1997 and 1998. There was also a seven percent increase between 1998 and 1999. The percentage of TSP enrollee admissions compared to total over 65 admissions increased from 32 percent in 1997 to 48 percent in 1999.

Total revenues for this population rose by \$756,449 between 1997 and 1998, then decreased by \$594,504 from 1998 to 1999. The percentage of TSP revenues compared to total inpatient revenues rose from 28 percent in 1997 to 45 percent in 1999. The average revenue per TSP admission increased by \$203 from 1997 to 1998 then decreased by \$1,051 between 1998 and 1999. Average TSP revenues per admission

were consistently below total average revenues per admission for all three fiscal years.

The second part of the inpatient revenue analysis looks at revenues associated with beneficiaries age 65 and older who are not enrolled in TSP. This population experienced a 22 percent increase in admissions between 1997 and 1998. It then experienced a 31 percent decrease in admissions between 1998 and 1999. Admissions for this group, as a percentage of the total 65 and older population, steadily declined from 68 percent in 1997 to 52 percent in 1999. The largest decline occurred between 1998 and 1999 when admissions dropped 10 percent.

Total revenues and average revenue per admission have also declined over the same period. Total revenues decreased by \$107,069 between 1997 and 1998 and then dropped an additional \$1.2 million between 1998 and 1999. The decrease from 1998 to 1999 represents a 45 percent decline in revenues for this population in one year. The average revenue per admission has declined over the three-year period but has been consistently larger than the averages for TSP enrollees. The lost revenues from this population account for 67 percent of the \$1.8 million decrease in 65 and older inpatient revenues from 1998 and 1999.

## 2. Outpatient Revenue Analysis

The first part of the outpatient revenue analysis looks at TPC revenues for TSP enrollees who have other health insurance. Appendix B provides a detailed breakdown of TPC billings, collections, and write-offs related to TSP enrollees for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. It should be emphasized that TSP did not begin until fiscal year 1999 and the data is presented in this format for comparison purposes only.

The data shows there was a nine percent increase in TSP visits from fiscal year 1997 to fiscal year 1998. A slight increase in visits occurred between fiscal years 1998 and 1999 but billings actually dropped by one percent.

Projected collections for TSP enrollees for fiscal year 1999 are expected to decline by less than \$1,000 from amounts collected in fiscal year 1998. This amount would be considered insignificant based on total collections for TSP enrollees of \$189,850.

The second part of the outpatient revenue analysis examines TPC revenues related to 65 and older beneficiaries not enrolled in TSP. This population had total outpatient visits in fiscal years 1997 and 1998 that were four times that of TSP enrollees. Appendix C provides a detailed

breakdown of TPC billings, collections, and write-offs for this population for fiscal years 1997 through 1999.

The data indicates a significant drop in the number of non-TSP visits from fiscal year 1998 to 1999. This decline of over 2,000 visits represents a 19 percent decrease and a corresponding billing reduction of six percent. Based on the reduction in the amount billed for fiscal year 1999 of \$89,201, it is projected that collections will decline by over \$44,000 from 1998 to 1999. This results in a seven percent reduction in revenues for non-TSP enrollees from fiscal year 1998 to 1999.

## 3. Subsistence Revenue Analysis

As part of the TSP demonstration project, inpatient subsistence charges are waived for TSP enrollees [Ref. 2]. Subsistence charges cover meals and other incidental expenses for inpatient stays. The rate for military retirees for fiscal year 1999 is \$10.45 per day. The reduction in revenues for NMCSD in fiscal year 1999 is approximately \$18,700. This covers the nine-month period from January through September 1999, which is when the policy was implemented. Annual projected revenue loss after fiscal year 1999 is estimated to be \$25,000.

#### C. COST ANALYSIS

The cost analysis performed used actual inpatient admissions and outpatient visits for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Inpatient costs were obtained from actual charges accrued in CHCS. Outpatient costs were generated using actual visits multiplied by cost per visit data contained in MEPRS. The inpatient analysis included all military beneficiaries age 65 and older who were admitted in fiscal years 1997 through 1999. The outpatient analysis is limited to military beneficiaries age 65 and older who have other health insurance and who received outpatient treatment in fiscal years 1997 through 1999.

## 1. Inpatient Cost Analysis

The first part of the inpatient cost analysis looks at costs associated with TSP enrollees. Appendix A provides a breakdown of inpatient cost information for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. Total costs and average cost per admission have experienced a steady increase from 1997 to 1999. Total cost increases correlate with the percentage increase in TSP admissions over the same period. The percentage change in total costs for TSP enrollees is slightly higher than the percentage change in admissions for the same population. The average cost per admission rose

eight percent between 1997 and 1998 and four percent from 1998 to 1999.

The second part of the inpatient cost analysis looks at military beneficiaries age 65 and older who are not enrolled in TSP. This group also experienced total cost changes that correspond to changes in total admissions. Total costs increased by 28 percent between 1997 and 1998, then decreased by 28 percent between 1998 and 1999. Total cost percentages for this population declined over the three-year period as TSP enrollee costs increased. The increases in the average cost per admission of five percent in 1998 and four percent in 1999 are in line with the total average cost increases for the entire 65 and older population.

## 2. Outpatient Cost Analysis

The first part of the outpatient cost analysis examined TSP enrollees who have other health insurance. Appendix D provides detailed outpatient cost information for fiscal years 1997 through 1999. There was a 10 percent increase in total visits for the TSP population between fiscal years 1997 and 1998. The average cost per visit increased by 15 percent over the same period, resulting in a total cost increase of 27 percent.

The number of outpatient visits stayed relatively stable between 1998 and 1999 with only a one percent

increase. The average cost per visit over the same period increased by 32 percent. Internal medicine visits accountfor the bulk of this increase, with such visits increasing by 56 percent. Internal medicine visits accounted for nearly 25 percent of total visits in 1999. The total cost increase of \$152,953 from 1998 to 1999 is primarily attributable to increased costs rather than increased outpatient activity.

The second part of the outpatient cost analysis examines military beneficiaries age 65 and older who have other health insurance but are not enrolled in TSP. This population reflected a stable level of outpatient activity in fiscal years 1997 and 1998. Total cost increases for this period were attributable to increases in the average cost per visit rather than increases in the number of outpatient visits.

This population did experience a significant decrease in outpatient visits between 1998 and 1999. Total visits declined by 15 percent while average cost increased by 33 percent. Significant drops occurred in primary care, cardiology, otolaryngology and radiation therapy. Substantial increases did occur in orthopaedics, physical therapy and vascular surgery. An increase in the cost per

visit rather than an increase in the number of outpatient visits drove the total cost increase of \$206,162.

### D. SUMMARY

This chapter has examined some of the significant changes in costs and revenues associated with the 65 and older military beneficiary population at NMCSD. It should be mentioned again that the inpatient analysis includes the entire beneficiary population while the outpatient analysis relates to the portion of this population that has other health insurance. It should be noted that costs were calculated using the best available data and do not represent actual costs incurred. Billings and collections data do represent actual revenues except for the projections made for the last month of fiscal year 1999.

The last chapter will be used to draw conclusions based on the data analysis performed in this chapter. These conclusions will relate to the financial impact of the Medicare Subvention demonstration project at NMCSD. I will also make recommendations concerning what additional analysis needs to be performed in order to thoroughly evaluate this program.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### V. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A. CONCLUSIONS

This chapter will draw conclusions based on the data analysis presented in chapter four and appendices A through E. The conclusions will concern the financial impact of the Medicare Subvention demonstration project at NMCSD. The conclusions will examine the revenue and cost implications of TSP. The inpatient and outpatient impacts relating to both the TSP and non-TSP beneficiary populations will also be addressed.

## 1. Revenue Implications

Inpatient and outpatient TPC revenues relating to the age 65 and older beneficiary population dropped significantly from fiscal year 1998 to 1999. Inpatient revenues declined \$1.8 million, while outpatient revenues decreased by \$45,000.

## a) Inpatient Revenues

The \$1.8 million decline in TPC inpatient revenues between 1998 and 1999 was comprised of a 33 percent decrease in TSP enrollee revenue and a 67 percent decrease in non-TSP revenue. The 45 percent or \$1.2 million reduction in revenues for military beneficiaries not enrolled in TSP can

be attributed to two factors. There was a 31 percent decrease in admissions between 1998 and 1999 and a decline in revenue per admission of 20 percent.

The 32 percent or \$594,504 reduction in TSP inpatient revenues is the result of a decline in revenue per admission between 1998 and 1999. One possible reason for the 37 percent or \$1,051 reduction in revenue per admission is that many TSP enrollees dropped their other health insurance after enrolling in TSP. This would help explain the significant reduction in revenues in 1999 even through admissions for TSP enrollees increased by seven percent.

## b) Outpatient Revenues

Outpatient revenues declined just over \$45,000 between 1998 and 1999. The 65 and older beneficiary population not enrolled in TSP accounted for 98 percent of this reduction. This can tied to the 19 percent reduction in outpatient visits this group experienced from 1998 to 1999. Nearly all of the non-TSP revenue decrease can be traced to declines in primary care, family practice, NAVCARE and pharmacy visits.

Outpatient revenues for TSP enrollees decreased by only \$972 from 1998 to 1999. This is insignificant considering total TPC revenues for beneficiaries age 65 and

older totaled \$813,000 for fiscal year 1999. Fiscal year 1999 billings and collections relating to TSP enrollee outpatient visits were within one percent of their 1998 levels.

### c) Conclusion

It is estimated that \$945,552 or 51 percent of the \$1,845,080 revenue reduction from fiscal year 1998 to 1999 is attributable to the TSP program. Appendix E provides a breakdown of the TSP revenue analysis. The revenue reduction comes from two inpatient sources and one outpatient source. The TSP enrollees who dropped their other health insurance account for \$327,530 of the inpatient revenue loss. Part of this loss was recouped through an increase in TSP admissions during 1999, which resulted in a revenue gain of \$100,892. A decline in admissions for non-TSP beneficiaries accounts for \$673,463 of the inpatient revenue loss. A decrease in outpatient visits for non-TSP enrollees accounts for \$44,479 and the majority of the outpatient revenue loss.

## 2. Cost Implications

Total cost changes relating to the 65 and older beneficiary population are closely related to the level of inpatient and outpatient activity. Average costs per

admission and per visit were approximately the same for both the TSP and non-TSP beneficiary populations. All costs presented are based on total aggregate costs and do not reflect actual costs incurred.

## a) Inpatient Costs

Inpatient costs experienced increases and decreases over the three-year period, reflecting average cost increases and changes in the level of admissions.

Total costs for TSP enrollees increased by 11 percent or \$786,331 between 1998 and 1999. This cost increase is consistent with the seven percent increase in admissions and the four percent increase in the average cost per visit over the same period.

Total costs for the 65 and older beneficiaries not enrolled in TSP experienced a substantial decrease of \$3,236,850 from 1998 to 1999. This 28 percent decrease represents a 31 percent drop in admissions combined with a four percent increase in the average cost per admission. The changes in the average cost per admission for TSP and non-TSP beneficiaries are consistent with the change in the average cost per admission for the total 65 and older beneficiary population.

## b) Outpatient Costs

Outpatient costs experienced significant increases for both the TSP and non-TSP beneficiary populations over the three-year period. The average cost per visit for TSP enrollees rose by 15 percent in 1998 and 32 percent in 1999. The total cost increase from 1998 to 1999 was 33 percent or \$152,953. This is consistent with the cost per visit increase considering that total visits only increased by one percent over the same period.

Cost increases for the 65 and older beneficiaries not enrolled in TSP followed the same pattern. The average cost per visit increased by 12 percent in 1998 and 33 percent in 1999. Total costs increased by 14 percent or \$206,162 between 1998 and 1999. The difference between the total cost and average cost changes is due to the fact that total visits decreased by 15 percent from 1998 to 1999.

### c) Conclusion

The total inpatient cost decrease in fiscal year 1999 of \$2,450,519 can be attributed to the implementation of TSP. Appendix A provides a detailed breakdown of inpatient costs. Two factors make it difficult to draw firm conclusions about outpatient costs. First, inconsistencies in the outpatient cost data, including substantial cost

increases from year to year, cause me to question the accuracy of this data. Second, due to the lack of outpatient data for the entire 65 and older beneficiary population, it is difficult to determine the true outpatient cost impact of the TSP program at NMCSD.

## d) Summary Conclusion

Based on the cost and revenue analysis that was performed, it appears that the Medicare Subvention demonstration project actually lowered operating costs at NMCSD by approximately \$1.5 million in fiscal year 1999. This does not take into account the outpatient cost impact of the 65 and older beneficiaries who do not have other health insurance. The \$945,552 loss of TPC revenues in fiscal year 1999 is offset by the \$2.5 million decrease in inpatient costs for the entire 65 and older beneficiary population.

These conclusions do not take into consideration any reimbursement the MTF may receive from Medicare based on TSP enrollment.

### B. RECOMMENDATIONS

This research was the first step in determining the financial impact of the Medicare Subvention demonstration project at Naval Medical Center, San Diego (NMCSD). It was

not designed to encompass all aspects of this project and should not be used as a complete evaluation of TRICARE

Senior Prime (TSP). Several other areas need to be addressed in order to make an accurate evaluation of this program. The following areas should be examined in future research in order to obtain a more detailed analysis.

The entire 65 and older beneficiary population should be examined to determine actual outpatient visit activity.

This will enable the researcher to obtain an accurate cost impact of TSP compared to the entire beneficiary population.

Inpatient and outpatient costs should be analyzed at the procedure level to obtain more precise cost information. The researcher may want to limit this analysis to specific outpatient clinics or TSP enrollees in order to limit the scope of this analysis.

The TSP population should be surveyed to determine the actual percentage that dropped their other health insurance. This process will provide a more accurate determination of revenue changes related to TSP enrollees.

Data should be collected concerning the average length of stay for inpatient admissions. This would allow for a comparison between TSP and non-TSP beneficiary populations. This average length of stay information could also be

compared to the average length of stay for NMCSD, as a whole.

The outpatient data in this analysis could be used to analyze the changes in visit mix between the different outpatient clinics. This ties into the analysis by procedure previously mentioned and will help determine where the greatest financial changes are occurring.

The key to analyzing any of the above mentioned areas is the ability of the researcher to obtain the most accurate data available. The accuracy of the data will ensure the reliability of the analysis.

## APPENDIX A

## INPATIENT COST & REVENUE ANALYSIS (Actual Totals)

			TRICARE S	ENIOR PRIM	ME ENROLLEE	S		
FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999		32% 38% 48%	\$ 7,205,713	32% 38% 49%	\$ 11,224	\$ 1,083,701 \$ 1,840,150 \$ 1,245,646	28% 40% 45%	\$ 2,866

## MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999	868 1061 732	68% 62% . 52%	\$ 11,582,650	68% 62% 51%	\$ 10,917	\$ 2,810,919 \$ 2,703,850 \$ 1,498,725	72% 60% 55%	\$ 2,548

## MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER TOTAL BENEFICIARY POPULATION

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999	1703	100%	\$ 13,242,407 \$ 18,788,363 \$ 16,337,844	100% 100% 100%	\$ 11,033	\$ 3,894,620 \$ 4,544,000 \$ 2,744,371	100% 100% 100%	\$ 2,668

## APPENDIX A

## INPATIENT COST & REVENUE ANALYSIS (Total change from prior year)

			TRICARE S	SENIOR PRIM	ME ENROLLEE	S		
FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999		55% -16%		54% -32%	•	\$ 756,449 \$ (594,504)	116% 33%	-

## MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999		45% 116%	\$ 2,554,383 \$ (3,236,850)	46% 132%		\$ (107,069) \$ (1,205,125)	l I	

## MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER TOTAL BENEFICIARY POPULATION

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999	428		\$ 5,545,956 \$ (2,450,519)	100% 100%		\$ 649,380 \$ (1,799,629)	100% 100%	,

### APPENDIX A

INPATIENT	COST &	REVENUE	ANALYSIS
(Percent	age chai	nge from p	rior year)

			TRICARE S	ENIOR PRI	ME ENROLLEE	S		
FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999			71% 11%		8% 4%	70% -32%		8% -37%

## MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME

FISCAL YEAR	OF ADMITS	PCT. OF TOTAL ADMITS	TOTAL COSTS	PCT. OF TOTAL COSTS	AVG. COST PER ADMISSION	TOTAL REVENUES	PCT. OF TOTAL REVENUES	AVG. REV. PER ADMISSION
1997 1998 1999			28% -28%		5% 4%		1	-21% -20%

#### **MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGED 65 AND OLDER TOTAL BENEFICIARY POPULATION** PCT. OF NUMBER PCT. OF AVG. COST PCT. OF AVG. REV. **FISCAL** OF **TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL PER** TOTAL **TOTAL** PER YEAR **ADMITS ADMITS** COSTS COSTS **ADMISSION** REVENUES REVENUES **ADMISSION** 1997 1998 34% 42% 6% 17% -13% 1999 -17% -13% 4% -40% -27%

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MAPPER   COUPPATIENT   TOTAL AMOUNT   AMOUNT   MAPPER   COLLECTED   LOTAL AMOUNT   MAPPER	TRICARE SENIOR PRIME ENROLLEES OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS	(Summary)	EY 98 FY 99	BALANCE VISITS BILLED C	-\$1 446 \$92,560 \$36,718 \$52,090 \$3,752 695 \$116,904 \$46,375 \$65,790	\$1 10 \$180 \$364 \$416 \$0 1 3 \$276 \$129 \$147	615, 621, 620, 62, 634, 635, 636, 636, 636, 636, 636, 636, 636	-5139 5522 5486 50 7 \$1,038 \$538	50 60 51/50/ 5/1025 88/782 \$0 38 \$7,227 \$3,405 \$3,822	\$0 30 \$8,610 \$3,405 \$5,205 \$0 18 \$4,432 \$1,753	80 17 \$4.177 8.1548 82.620 80 11 \$2,610 \$1,115	\$0 24 \$1.791 \$581 \$1.210 \$0 38 \$0.700 \$67.8	\$16 17 \$3,825 \$1,555 \$2,045 \$225 59 \$13,052	\$0 73 \$20,294 \$9,307 \$10,987 \$0 57 \$11,250 \$5,159 \$6,091	\$0 11 \$1,826 \$873 \$953 \$0 9 \$1,280	80 125 814,170 \$5,961 \$8,5 40 1 25 814,170 \$5,961 \$8,5	\$0 3 \$405 \$177 \$288 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 162 \$22,842 \$9,590 \$13,252 \$0 10	\$0 5 \$830 \$432 \$398 \$0 0 \$0	\$0 70 \$17,454 \$4,520 \$12,934 \$0 59 \$17,779 \$4,604 \$13.	\$0 37 \$7,992 \$5,754 \$2,238 \$0 1	40 13 \$5,435 \$2,236 \$2,945 \$270 0 \$0 \$0	\$0 69 \$14,396 \$8,008 \$5,388 \$0 R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	\$0 17 \$3,332 \$1,883 \$1,449 \$0 26 \$5,681 \$3.210	\$0 9 \$1,188 \$217 \$971 \$0 21 \$2,232 \$408	\$0 142 \$29,636 \$10,551 \$0 \$0 142 \$29,636 \$10,551 \$10,685 \$10,6	\$0 8 \$1,328 \$498	\$0 34 \$5,555 \$2,005 \$3,550 \$0 32 \$5,404 \$1,950 \$3,454	\$0 15 53,473 \$1,374 \$2,099 \$0 18 \$5,232 \$2,070	\$0 6 \$528 \$207	\$0 6 \$450 \$252 \$198 \$0 14 \$3,041 \$1,703 \$	\$0 5 \$14/ \$629 \$0 5 \$508 (	\$0 13 \$2,041	\$0 1 \$159 \$26 \$133 \$0 8 \$1,022 \$167 \$855	\$0 30 516,600 \$5,239 \$11,351 \$0 106 \$12,879 \$4,065 \$8,814	\$0 10 \$4 \$0.50 \$10.934 \$10.50 \$0 157 \$10.934 \$10.50 \$10.934	\$0 6 \$348 \$162 \$186 \$0	\$0 67 \$5,360 \$3,605 \$1,755 \$0 21 \$1,656 \$1,114 \$542	\$0 97 \$7.484 \$2.335 \$4.983 \$166 40 \$2.460 \$768 \$1,638 \$	50 13 574 5148 6503 61 650 6504 6415 651586 \$4	\$62 1 \$104 \$21 \$83 \$0	0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0 0\$ 0	\$0 128 \$2,660 \$1.360 \$1,180 \$20 7 \$144 \$77 \$66	\$0 3 \$252
NITERIAL MEDICINE   100TAL   AMOUNT				ш	\$52,090	\$416	\$12,926	\$486	\$8,782	\$5,205	\$2,13U \$2,629	\$1.210	\$2,045	\$10,987	\$953	\$11,135	. 880	\$13,252	\$398	\$12,934	\$2,238	\$2,945	\$6,388	\$1,449	\$971	\$17,685	\$5,260	\$3,550	\$2,099	\$321	\$198	\$629	\$1.224	\$133	\$11,361	\$18,565 \$360	<b>\$18</b> 6	\$1,755	\$4,983	\$11,645	\$83	\$0	\$1,180	\$681
NUTRING   NUTR	IME ENROLLEES NUE ANALYSIS	ary)			\$36	ě						•		<b>€</b> >	•								•												•				•	9			is.	
NUTRING   NUTR	E SENIOR PR	www.	0.00	•	446	5 7	<u> </u>	٠	200	50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	17 \$5	24 \$1	17 \$3	73 \$20	•	A	- m			•		•			•				•			¥							_	•				
NOTIFIER   TOTAL   AMOUNT   AMOUNT   TOTAL   AMOUNT   AMOUNT   TOTAL   TO	TRICAR		_		-\$1	9 6	94.90	6514	9 6	2 6	9	90	\$16	O# (	9	9	9	\$0	O\$	0	9	- <del>-</del>	9	. \$0	O\$ 6	9 6	9	0\$	•	<b>9</b>	0	9 6	S S	O\$	80	9 69	<b>S</b>	90	9 6	9 6	\$62	0\$	\$0	9
NITTERNAL MEDICINE		6	a/ Morre oce		₩.	¥	Ť	÷				\$400	\$6,147	\$5,028	\$625	\$148	9	\$0	\$223	\$8,851	\$3,622	\$14.971	\$2,363	\$174	\$0 88 88 88	80	<b>S</b>	\$2,170	\$1.172	9	<b>2486</b>	8839	\$	O\$	\$1,828	\$146	\$215	\$1,245	\$2,513	\$2,788	\$808	\$540	\$192	
NITTERNAL MEDICINE		Ā		COLLECTED	\$27,807	\$5.578	\$415	\$7.345	\$2.466	\$2.403	\$938	\$474		\$8,436	\$003 \$9.479	\$148	80	80	\$431	\$15,442	49,194	\$29.345	\$12,351	\$1,468	\$0	\$0	<b>₽</b>	\$2,345	\$7.833	\$268	\$810	\$1.625	<b>0\$</b>	\$117	\$6,009 \$19.454	\$292	\$305	\$330	\$1,847 \$12,179	\$1,912	\$2,478	\$630	\$112	\$150
OUTPATIENT CLINIC ALLERGY CARDIOLOGY GASTROENTEROLOGY GASTROENTEROLOGY HEMATOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY RHEUMATOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY PLUMONOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY PLASTIC SURGERY CARDIOVASCULAR NEUROSURGERY OPTHALMOLOGY PHYSICAL MEDICINE RADIATION THERAPY PROCTOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY PHYSICAL SURGERY OPTHALMOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY PROCTOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY OFTHALMOLOGY OFTHALMOLOGY OFTHALMOLOGY OFTHALMOLOGY PROCTOLOGY OFTHALMOLOGY PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO PHARMACY			TINITOMO	BILLED	₩			•							•	•	0\$						•											•			_		Ψ.					
INTERNAL MEDICINE ALLERGY CARDIOLOGY GASTINGORINOLOGY GASTINGORINOLOGY HEMATOLOGY NUTRITION ONCOLOGY PULMONOLOGY PURATION PERMATOLOGY PULMONOLOGY PURADIOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY OTOLARYNGOLOGY PROCTOLOGY URSCULAR SURGERY PROCTOLOGY URSCULAR SURGERY PROCTOLOGY URSCULAR OPTHALINE CAST HAND SURGERY PROCTOLOGY BREAST CANGER ORTHOPAEDIC CAST HAND SURGERY PROCTOLOGY BREAST CANGER ORTHOPAEDIC CAST HAND SURGERY PROCTOLOGY BREAST CANGER ORTHOPAEDIC CAST HAND SURGERY PROCTOLOGY BREAST CANGER OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEUI		L	TOT	VISIT	~ ~	_	. `			i <del>~</del>	_	<del>-</del>	<u>.</u>					_		-i ò	_	275	8			_	_		- 33			—	_	- 1	350		Ψ,	~ ~						
			LENT	NIC	EDICINE	>	OGY	EROLOGY	3¥	: <u>}</u>	· *-		, ,,,,	LOGY	LOGY	US DISEASE	L MEDICINE	ON THERAPY	INIC Critical Critica	AL SURGERY	SURGERY	LMOLOGY	SYNGOLOGY	SURGERY	JEGGT SY	AR SURGERY	ESIOLOGY	CANCER	AEDIC		KGEKY V	TRY	HEALTH	VORK	CARE	TRY	GΥ	IIY HEALTH	ACY MEDICINE	THERAPY	ONAL THERAP	THERAPY-NEU	S NO X	SCE

							Ē	(Averages)								
				FY 97					24 00	9						
MEPRS CODE	OUTPATIENT CLINIC	TOTAL AN	AMOUNT A BILLED CO		WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING RAI ANCE	TOTAL /	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING	TOTAL	AMOUNT	<u>ت</u> ـــاھ	RITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING
BAA	L MEDICINE			\$83	\$51	S	446	\$208	\$82		BALANCE \$8	2   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S		COLLECTED		BALANCE
BAC	CARDIOLOGY	2 5	\$66	£3.	<b>\$</b> 32	<b>S</b>	₽ (	\$78	\$36	\$42	\$	က	88	\$38	<b>\$</b>	9
BA:	ENDOCRINOLOGY	_ 4	\$130	\$10g	\$ <del>\$</del> \$	200	137	\$160	99\$	\$94	0\$		\$130	\$53	211	\$0
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	35	\$347	\$230	\$117	C54-	9	\$168	\$87	581	<b>9</b> €		\$151	\$78	\$73	\$0
BAH	HEMATOLOGY	59	\$173	\$82	\$88	S	8 8	\$287	\$114	\$140	2		\$192	290	\$101	\$0
₩ 8	NEPHROLOGY	15	\$221	\$160	\$61	8	<b>=</b>	\$338	\$144	\$ 61 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	G 5	2 5	\$242	96\$ \$0\$	\$147	<b>S</b>
BAK BAK	NEUROLOGY	<b>∞</b> :	\$167	\$117	\$20	<b>S</b>	17	\$246	\$91	\$155	OS		\$186	598	\$117	9
BAL BAM	NOIRFIION	6 3	<b>\$4</b> 6	\$25	\$21	9	24	\$75	\$24	\$50	OS S		\$55	\$18	\$37	9
BAN B	PULMONOLOGY	2 5	\$158	\$118	240	0	<b>+</b>	\$225	\$91	\$120	\$13		\$220	\$83	\$117	\$13
BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY	9 0	\$142	\$73	0/#	2 5	S #	\$278	\$127	\$151	0,	9	\$197	\$30	\$107	\$0
ВАР	DERMATOLOGY	132	\$115	\$72	3	9	155	\$124	6/8	\$8/	9	æ ç	\$140	\$67	\$73	<b>S</b>
BAO :	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	7	\$148	\$74	\$74	\$	-	\$278	\$222	\$56	9 6	2	÷ 5	8 4 6 5 6	999	9
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE	0	S S	<b>&amp;</b>	0\$	0\$	က	<b>\$</b>	\$	\$	S S		3 S	g G	<b>3</b> 5	9 5
8 S	PAIN CLINIC	<b>-</b> 4	9 9	<b>3</b> 6	2 2	S (	162	တ ်	<b>9</b>	<b>%</b>	0\$	93	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	8	S
BBA	GENERAL SURGERY	8	\$273	\$174	66%	3 5	٥ ٢	\$166	\$86 \$86	\$80	<b>₩</b>	۰,	<b>⊗</b>	<b>S</b>	\$0	\$0
888	CARDIOVASCULAR	72	\$178	\$128	\$50	9	37	\$216	\$156	\$183 \$60	<u> </u>	52 +	\$299	\$77	\$222	0,
288	NEUROSURGERY	က	\$210	\$83	\$121	\$	12	\$363	\$149	\$196	\$18		0\$	6 G	79¢	<u> </u>
BBF BBF	OF HALMOLOGY	279	\$159	\$105	\$54	9	227	\$186	\$65	\$121	\$0	¥	\$225	\$79	\$147	9
986	PLASTIC SURGERY	g w	\$274	\$747	828	9	£	\$209	<b>\$116</b>	\$93	9	2	\$226	\$126	\$100	\$0\$
田田	PROCTOLOGY	0	\$	<b>S</b>	S	9	<u>.</u> 6	05 •	- S	Ç.	3 5	5 23	\$216	\$122	<b>5</b> 94	<b>S</b>
98	UROLOGY	158	\$180	\$111	69\$	\$0	138	\$199	\$71	\$128	OS S	_	\$209	\$74	\$135	GA GA
88	ANESTHESIOLOGY		3 2	g, ⊊	S 5	9	⊕ ∘	င္တ င	<b>₽</b>	<b>&amp;</b> €	0\$		\$0	<b>\$</b>	<b>S</b>	<u>o</u>
BCB	GYNECOLOGY	35.	\$129	\$67	\$62	9	, ¥	\$163	9 55 52 65	\$10 \$10 \$10 \$10	05		80	<b>&amp;</b> ₹	200	9
2	BREAST CANCER	9	\$196	\$116	\$80	\$0	5	\$232	\$92	\$140	9		\$286	\$113	\$108	
BEB	CAST	8° 4	\$155 \$67	\$135 \$67	\$20	0\$	28	\$174	\$71	\$103	<b>S</b>	8	\$231	\$94	\$137	9
BEC	HAND SURGERY	. <b>6</b> 5	\$72	£ 5	\$27	G G	o ဖ	\$75	\$3.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4	468 4 55	08		\$84	\$33	\$51	<b>\$</b>
	PODIATRY	0 ;	<b>2</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>9</b>	\$0	80	<b>S</b>	<u></u>	80	0\$	<u> 4</u>	277¢	47 A	8 G	9
F 0.	MENTAL HEALTH	စ္င	\$15¢	\$105 \$	\$52	OS G	7 5	\$191	\$106	\$85	OS.	0	<b>%</b>	8	<b>&amp;</b>	80
BFE	SOCIAL WORK	, <del>-</del>	\$117	\$117	S S	08	2 +-	\$159 8	35 50	\$0	09	1 39	2	<b>&amp;</b> §	8	<b>S</b>
BGA	FAMILY PRACTICE	۲,	\$110	\$82	\$26	\$0	130	\$128	3	\$87	OS S		\$120	\$21 \$38	\$10/ \$83	G 5
E E	OPTOMETRY	0 6 8	\$108	\$26	\$53	05	264	\$126	\$56	\$70	0\$	137	\$125	\$55	\$70	9
뭂	AUDIOLOGY	<b>.</b> 6	\$52	831 831	\$22	9	» «	\$82	\$36	£	0, 0	₽ ;	\$80	\$35	\$45	80
묾	COMMUNITY HEALTH	21	\$75	\$16	\$29	<b>S</b>	67	889	\$54 \$54	\$26	OF G	<u>7</u> ¢	24 g	\$21	\$24	9
H H	NAVCARE EMERGENCY MEDICINE	<del>6</del> 5	\$109	. A6	\$63	0\$	26	211	\$24	\$51	\$2		\$62	\$ 50	\$41	8.0
몵	PHYSICAL THERAPY	3 5	847 847	\$122	\$0.00 \$0.00	O S	108	\$211	665	\$108	25	_	\$191	\$30	\$97	***
BLB	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	<b>3</b>	\$62	<b>\$4</b> 6	\$15	5.5	2	\$5/ \$104	\$11 \$21	\$46 \$83	OS S	137	\$50 \$80	\$11	\$45	<b>₩</b>
2 E	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	유 두	<b>\$</b> 39	\$21	\$18	0\$	٥	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	\$0	20	10	<b>\$</b>	2 00	<b>S</b>	9
: 단	PHARMACY	5 64	\$ 10 \$54	\$17	\$10 \$30	09 5	128	\$20	113	6\$	20	9	\$21	\$11	\$10	\$0
FEA	AMBULANCE	-	\$150	\$150	8	9	, e	\$84	\$47	\$28 \$37	88	<u>o</u> c	<b>8</b> 38	<b>\$</b>	\$21	98
		2,548	\$139	\$89	\$50	\$0	2,783	\$166	869	96\$	\$2	2,449	\$165	868	895	\$0
																*

		,					TRICARE OUTPA	SENIOR TIENT RE (Total	ENIOR PRIME EI INT REVENUE A (Total Change)	TRICARE SENIOR PRIME ENROLLEES OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Total Change)							
			H	Ŧ	Y 97	1 1	_			FY 98					FY 99	g	
MEPRS		TOTAL AN	AMOUNT BILLED C	AMOUNT COLLECTED	WRITE-OFF D AMOUNT		OUTSTANDING T BALANCE V	TOTAL AN	AMOUNT BILLED C	AMOUNT COLLECTED	RITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
BAA BAB	INTERNAL MEDICINE	0 0	0\$ \$	φ. 6	8.8	S S	<u>S</u>	1	1 <u> </u>	\$8,911	\$34,830			<b>1</b> 23	\$9,657	\$13,700	\$987
BAC B	CARDIOLOGY	-	Ç Ç	n G		3 5	3 5	o y	\$120	\$52	\$68	<b>9</b>	7 !	-\$504	-\$235	-\$269	<b>S</b>
BAF	ENDOCRINOLOGY	•	<b>S</b>	·		3 <b>3</b> 3	<u></u>		\$452	\$107	\$200 \$200	\$139	<del>}</del> -	-\$10,149	- <b>54</b> ,164	-\$5,985	<u> </u>
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	0	<b>S</b>	•		\$0	Ç	28	\$5,505	\$480	\$5,025	9	-22	69-	-\$4.420	-54 960	3 5
BAH BAH	HEMATOLOGY NEDHEOLOGY	0 0	ය ද	69 E	0 4	<b>₽</b>	<u></u>	-	\$3,593	\$839	\$2,654	<b>\$</b>	_		-\$1,652	-\$2,526	<b>3</b>
¥8	NEUROLOGY	- 0	2 2	A G	) -	2 S	G 5	4 0	5403	-\$815	\$1,218	<b>₽</b>		-\$1,108	-\$473	-\$635	<b>G</b>
BAL	NUTRITION	0	<b>S</b>	, võ		3 S	<b>S</b>	o ro	\$917	\$107	\$2,23	<b>3 5</b>	9 7	\$2,047 \$288	-\$759	-\$1,288	S 6
BAM	ONCOLOGY	00	S 6	<b>\$</b>	0	<b>&amp;</b> (	S :	-137	\$20,507	-\$16,614	\$4,102	\$209	42	\$9,227	\$3,751	\$4,933	\$543
BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY		<b>8</b> 8	n vă		2 S	) ()	- 0	\$6,830 \$548	\$871	\$5,959	<b>3</b>	9- 6	-\$9,044	-\$4,148	-\$4,896	0\$
ВАР	DERMATOLOGY	۰.	<b>Ģ</b>	<b>6</b>	0	<b>\$</b>	<b>9</b>	23	\$3,976	-\$1,394	\$5,370	<b>&amp;</b>	3 6	-\$5,050	-\$201	-\$203	
A P	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	0 0	S 5	<b>69</b> (	0 -	<u>چ</u>	<u> </u>	Ψ,	-\$18	\$74	-\$92	D\$	7	-\$278	-\$222	-\$56	<b>3</b>
BAS	RADIATION THERAPY	00	2 S	A GĀ		2 2	D 5	163	\$465 \$22 842	\$177	\$288	S &	<u>ო</u> ც	-\$465	-\$177	-\$288	<b>9</b>
BAZ	PAIN CLINIC	0	OŞ.	₩		. <b>.</b>	<b>\$</b>		\$176	\$1	\$175		Š rė	-\$6,550	-\$2,796 -\$437	-53,864 -4308	<b>S</b>
88A	GENERAL SURGERY	<u> </u>	္တ	<b>6</b>	0	<b>Q</b>	<b>\$</b> 0	-19	-\$6,839	-\$10,922	\$4,083	<b>S</b>	÷ ÷	\$325	\$84	\$241	0.5
880	CARDIOVASCULAR NELIROSLIRGERY	<b>-</b>	<b>3</b>	və ü	۰.	G 5	<u></u>	ج د	-54,824	-\$3,440	-\$1,384	0\$		-\$5,220	-\$3,758	-\$1,462	\$0
98D	OPTHALMOLOGY	• •	<b>S</b>	ə vi		Q Q	2 5	2 6	\$4,821 \$7,036	\$1,968	\$2,582	\$271	-12	-\$5,451	-\$2,236	-\$2,945	-\$270
BBF	OTOLARYNGOLOGY	0	S	Ø		<b>\$</b>	<u></u>		-\$318	-\$4,343	\$4,025	G .	7 =	\$8,700 \$3,722	\$3,038	\$5,662	<u> </u>
986	PLASTIC SURGERY	0 0	<b>\$</b>	<b>↔</b> (	0	<b>&amp;</b> :	20	Ξ	\$1,690	\$415	\$1,275	9	6	\$2,349	\$1,327	\$1,022	9 <b>9</b>
88	UROLOGY	- c	G 5	is ü		B 5	Q Q	တင္	\$1,188	\$217	\$971	0\$	12	\$1,044	\$191	\$853	0\$
88K	VASCULAR SURGERY	0	<b>8</b>	, iš		<b>\$ \$</b>	9 69	2 8	\$4,422 \$3.888	- 44,395 - 1608	\$8,817	<b>₩</b>	4 6	\$2,174	\$774	\$1,400	9
88L	ANESTHESIOLOGY	0	<b>9</b>	Ö		<b>2</b> 0	8	. ∞	\$1,328	\$498	\$830	9	çφ	-\$1,328	. 5498	\$5,189 - <b>5</b> 830	
2 5	GYNECOLOGY BREAST CANCER	-	8	s i	0.	S 83	<u> </u>	<del>-</del> (	\$1,040	-\$340	\$1,380	0\$	7	-\$151	-\$55	96\$-	0\$
BEA	ORTHOPAEDIC		<u></u>	A 65		2 S	<b>3 3</b>	<b>n</b> 0	\$2,297 \$1,080	\$679	\$1,618 \$4,805	0,0	e 4	\$1,759	969\$	\$1,063	0\$
BEB	CAST	0	0\$	Ğ.	6	<b>20</b>	<b>S</b>		\$260	-\$61	\$321	9	9 40	\$432	\$169 \$169	\$6,696 \$263	) ()
1 1 1 1	HAND SURGERY	0 0	G 5	us ü		Q Q	<u> </u>	-12	-\$846	-\$558	-\$288	0\$	80	\$2,591	\$1,451	\$1,140	\$0
BFA	PSYCHIATRY		8	e G		2 CS	2 5	۰ -	\$783	\$14/	\$629	9	<u>ن :</u>	-\$268	-\$51	-\$217	\$0
BFD FT	MENTAL HEALTH	0	8	Ğ	Ĩ	20	9	. £	\$2,041	\$817	\$1,224	G G	2	\$3,247	\$1,803	-\$1,444 \$2,272	06
BGA A	SOCIAL WORK	-	G 5	er e		و	<b>B</b> 6	0 (	\$42	-\$91	\$133	0\$	^	\$863	\$141	\$722	\$0
BHA S	PRIMARY CARE	0	S &	÷ 6			9 6	, 2 2 3 3	<b>58</b> ,763	-\$770	\$9,533	<u> </u>	4 5	-\$3,721	-\$1,174	-\$2,547	0\$
BHC BHC	OPTOMETRY	٥	Q S	₩.	~	\$0	8		\$218	58-	\$223	9 69	13 6	5,0,5,0	-\$6,042 \$430	-\$7,631	9
	AUDIOLOGY COMMINITY DEALTH	0 0	င္တ	<b> 6</b> €		<b>₽</b>	9		-\$172	-\$143	-\$29	0\$	. œ	\$276	\$128	\$148	0\$
H	NAVCARE	-	G 6	ń G		2 5	2 6	9 7	\$3,785	\$3,275	\$510	\$0	•	-\$3,704	-\$2,491	-\$1,213	\$0
BIA	EMERGENCY MEDICINE	0	S	Ğ	. ~	2 S	<b>S</b>		\$5,188 .	-\$1,458	\$6,224	\$100	7 6	-\$5,024	-\$1,567	-\$3,345	-5111
8[A	PHYSICAL THERAPY	_	င္တ	Ø €	~ `	<u>چ</u>	00		\$3,959	-\$1,764	-\$2,195	\$	4	\$8,020	\$1,602	\$6,418	90
	OCCUPATIONAL I HERAPY PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	> c	⊋ ⊊	<b>₩</b>		S S	<u> </u>	င္ကိုင္င	-\$3,244	-\$2,457	-\$725	-\$62	2	\$1,898	\$383	\$1,515	0\$
18	IMMUNIZATIONS	, 0	<b>\$</b>	• <del>ਲ</del>	. •"	Q Q	<b>S</b>	•	\$2,256	-\$630 \$1.248	-\$540 \$988	\$20	12.0	\$0	200	200	000
5 F	PHARMACY AMRU ANCE	-	Ç Ç	Ø Ø		Q Q	0\$		\$1,378	-\$356	-\$1,177	\$155	-	-\$508	-\$1,284 -\$133	-\$1,114 -\$289	-\$19
i i		,	300	*		200	3 8	235 \$1	\$108 714	-\$36.533	\$140 173	\$0	ကုမ္	-\$252	-\$140	-\$112	\$
											>11 \\rac{1}{2}	7,00		-42,355	7/86-	-\$1,361	\$1,042
									!								

					TRIK	ARE SEN TPATIENT (Perci	SENIOR PRIME ENR TIENT REVENUE AN/ (Percentage Change)	TRICARE SENIOR PRIME ENROLLEES OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Percentage Change)							
			J	FY 97		-		FY	ı K						
CODE	OUTPATIENT CLINIC	TOTAL AMOUNT VISITS BILLED	COLLECT	NT WRITE-OFF TED AMOUNT	FF OUTSTANDING T BALANCE	4G TOTAL	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	VRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING	TOTAL	-	OUNT	B WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING
BA BA	INTERNAL MEDICINE				%	-	105%		202%	-375300%	VISI 15	-1	COLLECTED	AMOUNT	BALANCE
BAC	CARDIOLOGY	%°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°				%0 %0		17%	20%	%0	889	82% 92%	465% -65%	% 97 92%	76%
BAF	ENDOCRINOLOGY				%		•	61%	350%	%0		46%	46%	46%	%0
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY					%88 %0	20%	%27 %97	134%	-100%	14%	3%	3%	3%	%0
- A	HEMAI OLOGY						_	38%	10,8	%0	200	800	-56%	-56%	%0
A A	NEUROLOGY NEUROLOGY	%0 %0		%0	%0		12%	-34%	134%	% 8	-35% 4 %	%0°	-30% -30%	49% 90%	% %
BAL	NUTRITION	%0 %0				0% 113%	_	65%	561%	%0	-33%	49%	49%	49%	%
BAM	ONCOLOGY	%0 %0						23%	203%	43067	57%	16%	16%	16%	%0
BAN DAN	PULMONOLOGY		. وي	%0				10%	119%	%0°1	72% 22%	241% 45%	241%	241%	241%
BAP	DERMATOLOGY	%0 %0 %0	۰. م	%0		22%	_	34%	52%	%0	-17%	-30%	%0°	%0°	8 8
BAQ	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	%0 %0		%0	%0			%GL-	93%	%0	-20%	-56%	-26%	-26%	%0
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE			) %0				% 2 2	%70 0	8 8	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	%0
RA7	PAIN CLINIC	%0 %0		%0			_	%0	%	8 8	8 %	8 8	8 8	å å	%0
BBA	GENERAL SURGERY	%0 %0		%°		-17%	_	%0	78%	%0	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	8 8
888	CARDIOVASCULAR			%°				-71%	46%	%0	-15%	2%	2%	7%	800
880	NEUROSURGERY		••	%0				734%	-38%	0% 72400%	% 99.	-65%	-65%	-65%	%0
88C	OF HALMOLOGY				,			-50%	84%	%00.72- %00.72-	88	-1002 820 820 820	-100%	-100%	-100%
8BG	PLASTIC SURGERY	%0 %0	•	%0		-18%	-5%	-35%	170%	%0		26%	26%	7 % 7 %	8 8
B8H	PROCTOLOGY			%	%0			28%	733%	%	55%	70%	70%	70%	%0
98	UROLOGY		.~				_	-31%	%66 6	8 8	% % C	% 6	%	% 6	%0
BBL	ANESTHESIOLOGY	%0 %0		%°			%0	%0	%	800	88	8 %	% 6 0	% % 0	%0
BCB	GYNECOLOGY			. %0	%6	%0 %0 %0		%;	%0	%0	%	%	%	8 %	8 %
8CD	BREAST CANCER			_		÷	·	-14%	336%	%0	% č	% ?	-3%	-3%	%0
BEA BEB	CAST	%0 %0					12%	48%	410%	8 8	% % 7 9	112%	51% 112%	51%	% 8
BEC	HAND SURGERY		•	%0	%0	20%		-23%	%0	%0	%06	82%	82%	%0	8 8
BEF	PODIATRY			°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	-			%69 <del>-</del>	-59% -00%	%0	129%	216%	216%	216%	%0
A C	PSYCHIATRY MENTAL HEALTH	%0 %0		_		%9 %0		12%	72%	% 6	700%	.100%	%0 •	%0%	%0
BF B	SOCIAL WORK	%°°°	_	%0	%0	%0 %0		%0	%0	%0	%	%0 0	%0 -	%001 <u>-</u>	% %
BGA	FAMILY PRACTICE				_		112%	-/8%	27%	%0 0	200%	543%	543%	%0	%0
HA CHA	PRIMARY CARE							-24%	%1.7°		-18%	-22%	-22%	-22%	%0
3 5	AIDIOI OGY	%0 %0 %0						-2%	153%	%0	157%	150%	150%	41%	% 8
붊	COMMUNITY HEALTH				%6	240%	-33%	47%	-13%	%0	129%	79%	79%	%62 48%	3 %
¥ :	NAVCARE					•	72%	%9C %9C	41% 98%	%0	%69-	%69°	%69-	%69 <del>-</del>	%0
¥ E	EMERGENCY MEDICINE						29%	-12%	115%	% 0	-58%	-67% -1%	-67%	-67%	-67%
B.B	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	%0 %0		%0			-84%	-92%	-79%	%	1104%	1082%	1082%	1082%	%t- %C
BLC	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO				%0	-98%	%/6-	-99% 100%	%06-	-100%	2414%	1825%	1825%	1825%	%0
FB.	IMMUNIZATIONS					, 4,	742%	1114%	-100%	% •	%0	%	%0	%0	%0
7 F 5 F	PHARMACY AMRIII ANCE	%0 %0		0 %0	0 %0		-54%	-53%	-63%	344%	-95% -24%	-94% 43%	-94% 43%	-94%	-94%
	•			%0		200%	34%	-7%	%0	%0	-100%	-100%	-100%	%0	%0 0
					,	┸	01.70	-15%	110%	-26705%	1%	-1%	-1%	-1%	21%
						_				_					

						MILITARY E NOT ENRC OUTPATIEN	ENEFICIA OLLED IN: 17 REVEN	KRIES AGE 6 TRICARE SE IUE ANALYS	MILTARY BENEFICIARIES AGE 66 AND OLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Summary)							
				797					8					66		
MEPRS		TOTAL /	AMOUNT   BILLED	AMOUNT	WRITE-OFF AMOUNT	OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL VISITS	AMOUNT BILLED (	AMOUNT V	WRITE-OFF C	OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL VISITS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT COLLECTED	WRITE-OFF C	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
BAA BAB	INTERNAL MEDICINE	975	\$125,112	\$83,591	\$41,165	\$356	1,121	\$231,672	\$85,823	\$132,953	\$12,896	1,387	\$266,757	\$98,820	\$153,087	\$14,849
BAC	CARDIOLOGY	252	\$29,968	\$18,767	•,	9 %	<u>س</u>	\$56,640	\$24,067	\$32,573	OS.	281	\$41,890	\$17,800	\$24,091	<b>9 9</b>
BAF	ENDOCRINOLOGY	29	\$9,313	\$5,735		S C		\$9,912	\$4,375	\$5,537	05	37	\$6,327	\$2,793	\$3,534	0\$
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	104 275	\$38,534	\$26,301	\$12,232	5	159	\$39,033	\$17,417	\$21,616	9	33	\$18,271	\$8,153	\$10,118	0 0
BAJ	NEPHROLOGY	137	\$30,277	\$21,539		0\$	125	\$41,932	\$21,472	\$20,460	S	107	\$28,039	\$14,358	\$13,681	9
BAK	NEUROLOGY	27	\$4,509	\$2,060		9	62	\$14,926	\$5,622	\$9,304	0\$	45	\$9,494	\$3,576	\$5,918	0\$
BAL	NUTRITION	9 4	\$1,840	\$888	\$952	80	នដ	\$3,935	\$1,695	\$2,106	\$134	,	\$3,888	\$1,675	\$2,081	\$132
BAN	PULMONOLOGY	233	\$43,571	\$25,518	, •,	- S	213	\$59,214	\$22,683	\$35,975	\$556		\$38,057	\$14,578	\$23,121	\$357
BAO BAD	RHEUMATOLOGY	29	\$4,115	\$2,449	\$1,666	\$0	25	\$4,150	\$1,486	\$2,664	0,	333	\$5,120	\$1,833	\$3,287	05
BAQ	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	12 8	\$2,220	\$815		04	4	\$3,892	\$1,236 \$1,346	\$2,546	os S	477 9	\$1,371	\$474	\$52,356	9 %
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE	0	<b>2</b>	0\$	0\$	0\$		\$620	\$208	\$412	\$0	•	<b>9</b>	0\$	90	0\$
BAS	RADIATION THERAPY	2 2	\$282	\$282	\$0 \$2 250	os e	397	\$55,977	\$24,632	\$31,345	05	238	\$41,362	\$18,201	\$23,161	0,0
BBA	GENERAL SURGERY	405	\$111,954	\$75,738	\$35,802	\$414		\$48.538	\$18.188	\$30,350	os s	157	\$54,138	\$20,287	\$33.852	9
888	CARDIOVASCULAR	245	\$43,610	\$30,533	\$12,899	\$178		\$23,112	\$13,774	\$9,123	\$215		\$8,928	\$5,321	\$3,524	\$83
880	NEUROSURGERY	<del>4</del> 8	\$2,940	\$1,830	\$1,110	0\$		\$13,011	\$5,035	\$7,707	\$269		\$9,368	\$3,625	\$5,549	\$194
885	OTOL ARYNGOLOGY	257	\$42,394	\$29,527	\$12,849	0414 08	267	\$51.297	\$23,293	\$97,370	\$663	797	\$208,928	\$81,177	\$126,887 \$31,570	\$864
BBG	PLASTIC SURGERY	7	\$1,811	\$1,468	\$343	0\$		\$4,116	\$2,206	\$1,910	ios S	4	\$9,880	\$5,295	\$4,585	9 6
88H	PROCTOLOGY	0	<b>\$</b>	0\$	\$0	0\$		\$2,640	\$518	\$2,122	<b>\$</b> 0	39	\$4,818	\$945	\$3,873	\$0
88	UROLOGY	429	\$82,088	\$54,795	\$30,293	9	462	\$93,552	\$36,389	\$57,163	000		\$95,159	\$37,014	\$58,145	0
1 H	ANESTHESIOLOGY	-	2 S	G 69	2 S	9 6	28	\$4,648	\$2,175	\$11,140	\$276 \$0	607	\$45,783	\$23,901	\$21,466	\$416
BCB	GYNECOLOGY	134	\$17,286	\$9,623	\$7,534	\$129	_	\$17,819	\$6,321	\$11,497	\$1	8	\$17,425	\$6,181	\$11,243	
ပ္တ မ	OBSTETRICS	ې ۵	200	200	0\$	<b>6</b>		\$270	\$162	\$108	0\$	- :	\$157	\$94	\$63	9
BEA	BREAST CANCER ORTHOPAEDIC	147	\$3,246	\$2,543 \$16,253	\$6.077	\$144	140	\$24,106	\$7,064	\$11,343	5.5	269	\$20,181	\$7,745 \$27,584	\$12,436 \$36,633	<b>9</b>
8E8	CAST	60	\$536	\$402	\$134	0\$		\$704	\$242	\$462	\$0\$	9 9	\$1,536	\$528	\$1,008	9
3 H	HAND SURGERY	<del>6</del> «	\$3,312	\$1,785	\$1,517	\$10	2,5	\$300	\$342	\$558	0,5	23	\$4,536	\$1,724	\$2,812	9
BF F	PSYCHIATRY	- E	\$5,082	\$3,600	\$1.482	9	2 8	\$4,393	\$2.235	\$2,033	2	00	900	08	- 04 - 04	- C
BFD	MENTAL HEALTH	0	<b>9</b>	\$0	<b>9</b>	90	27	\$3,297	\$1,402	\$1,895	S	48	\$9,648	\$4,103	\$5,545	0\$
BFE BC	SOCIAL WORK	e 6	\$351	\$269	\$82	9	13	\$2,067	\$842	\$1,225	<u> </u>	۵ <u>ز</u>	\$1,502	\$612	088	0,0
H AH	PRIMARY CARE	1252	\$134,780	\$65,318	\$69,135	\$327		\$34,750	\$40,282	\$22,137	\$504	368	\$22,531	\$8,181	\$30,841	\$269
絽	OPTOMETRY	43	\$3,212	\$1,164	\$1,902	\$146		\$3,526	\$1,401	\$2,125	\$	47	\$4,264	\$1,694	\$2,570	\$
윤	AUDIOLOGY Speech Thebaby	37	\$1,924	\$900	\$1,024	9	8 \$	\$2,088	\$796	\$1,292	<u> </u>	8 6	\$1,723	\$657	\$1,066	0,0
품	COMMUNITY HEALTH	48 4	\$3,600	\$1,008	\$2,517	\$75		\$9,360	\$4.711	\$4.489	\$160	• 4	\$3,680	\$1.852	\$1,765	\$63
BH	NAVCARE	329	\$35,582	\$17,760	\$17,822	0\$		\$61,202	\$21,753	\$37,705	\$1,744		\$48,987	\$17,412	\$30,180	\$1,396
¥ a	EMERGENCY MEDICINE	448	\$78,848	\$53,847	\$24,473	\$528	98 2	\$77,226	\$39,577	\$37,226	\$423	342	\$74,494	\$38,177	\$35,909	\$408
8 8	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY		\$5,394	\$3,392	\$1,940	\$62	<del>,</del> e	\$1,040	\$300 \$164	\$876	05	37	\$3,328	\$5,892	\$2.803	9 69
BLC	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	_	\$4,142	\$2,804	\$1,338	0\$	۰;	0\$	<b>\$</b>	0\$	05	0	0\$	<b>S</b>	S S	\$0
O BA	LABORATORY RADIOLOGY	- 4	\$1,069	\$853	\$216	28.5	<u>4</u> «	\$1,030	\$203	\$828	197	5 5	\$430	\$85	\$345	0 <b>\$</b>
<u> </u>	IMMUNIZATIONS	79	\$1,264	\$513	\$751	<b>2</b>	428	\$8,560	\$4,394	\$4,146	\$20	- 53	86.74 \$686	\$278	\$335 \$335	\$2 \$2
FC A	PHARMACY AMRIII ANCE	1922	\$156,099	\$96,211	\$55,524	\$4,364	<del>-</del>	\$151,401	\$68,744	\$65,445	\$17,212	0,	\$81,977	\$37,222	\$35,436	\$9,320
<u> </u>		10,629	10,629 \$1,425,159	\$902,073	\$515,250	\$7,836	10,680	\$1,610,009	\$667,507	200,700\$	\$35,495		8.623 \$1,520,808	\$623.028	\$868.651	\$29.129
												L				

			OUTSTANDING RAI ANCE	\$11	90	9	9 6	Ç,	S	\$0	\$2	89	7 6	9 69	9	\$0	9	9	2 6	74.4	2	80	80	OS :	တ္တ င	7 6	Ç,	<b>%</b>	င္တ မ	9 6	9 6	0\$	O 6	9 6	0\$	5	O C	Q €	\$2	\$2	\$1	9 6	9 69	9	2\$	O 69	\$0	\$3
			VRITE-OFF OF	\$110	<b>\$</b> 46	\$86	\$121	\$176	\$127	\$133	\$34	\$137	\$103	\$77	\$157	<b>0</b>	\$97	9	\$210	4000 4000	\$166	\$143	\$115	\$100	\$149	50 <del>6</del>	\$125	\$55	\$202	4 683	\$123	\$88	\$0 \$116	\$87	\$87	\$84	\$55 \$33	\$86	\$44	\$47	\$105	\$44	\$ <b>\$</b>	\$27	\$35	\$12 \$35	\$64	\$101
		FY 99	AMOUNT V		\$46	\$63 \$76	808	\$101	\$134	\$80	\$27	\$111	\$57	\$53	\$83	<b>9</b>	\$77	200	9130	\$217	\$106	\$118	\$132	\$24	\$95	† Ç	\$68	\$82	\$125	#103 #33	\$75	\$39	400 400 400 400	829	\$50	\$58	#36 #36	\$45	\$46	\$27	\$112	\$20	<b>S</b>	\$7	\$24	\$12 \$36	\$0	\$72
			AMOUNT BILLED C		\$92	\$149	\$219	\$277	\$261	\$213	\$63	\$251	\$160	\$130	\$240	O# (	\$174	9240	\$340 \$353	\$546	\$274	\$261	\$247	\$124	\$243	80	\$193	\$137	\$327	\$98 \$96	\$198	\$127	\$0 \$004	\$146	\$137	\$143	\$91 \$50	\$131	\$92	\$76	\$218	#0# 10#	S	\$34	\$66	\$24 \$80	\$64	\$176
Ì			TOTAL VISITS	1,387	12	. F87 24 76	83	77	107	45	9	211	3 2	422	9	0	738	467	يَ ج	4 8	762	221	9	9 39	5 6	80	8	- ;	26.2	16	23	20	- 48 C	2 2	165	388	3 4	3 @	6	647	342	37	_	13	∓ 8	1,023		8,623
			OUTSTANDING BALANCE	\$12	OS S	<b>₽</b>	9	S S	0\$		\$2	<b>8</b> €		\$	0\$	S (	S 6		3 5	<b>9</b>	5	0\$	<b>9</b>	9	9	\$ 6	0\$	<b>₩</b>	9	9 6	0\$	8	₽ <b>€</b>	9	\$	<del>.</del> 69-6	÷ €	9	₩.	\$2	<del>(</del>	9 6	0\$	09	\$7	. <del>8</del>	\$0	\$3
2	_		WRITE-OFF AMOUNT	\$119	633	764	\$136	\$174	\$164	\$150	\$38	\$123	\$107	\$73	\$182	\$103	#/6 #/0	4153	\$85 585	\$175	\$113	\$105	\$91	\$106	\$10.4	888	\$97	\$54	\$125	858	\$47	\$68	# C65	\$94	\$80	\$74	33.	\$105	\$38	\$46	\$102	888	₽	\$29	834	\$37	\$76	CR <sub>S</sub>
65 AND OLDE	NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Averages)	8	AMOUNT IV	\$77	683	\$74	\$110	\$100	\$172	\$91	08	\$100 8406	\$59	\$51	96\$	\$52	\$62 \$66	\$	\$129	\$114	\$72	\$87	\$105	\$26	8113	\$78	\$54	\$81	8/8	\$30	\$29	\$30	298	\$65	\$46	\$52	\$22	\$54	\$40	\$27	\$108 \$18	\$16	\$	\$15	\$24	\$39	\$51	\$03
IARIES AGE	I TRICARE S NUE ANALY:		AMOUNT BILLED (	\$207	\$/8 6160	\$168	\$245	\$274	\$335	\$241	0/9	\$278	\$166	\$124	\$278	\$155	\$141 8466	\$245	\$216	\$296	\$186	\$192	\$196	\$132	\$216	\$166	\$151	\$135	\$202	\$88	\$75	208	\$157	\$159	\$126	\$126	\$58 \$58	\$159	\$80	\$75	\$211	\$104	\$0	\$74	\$20 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$3	\$85	\$127	9131
ENEFICI	JLLED IN 4T REVE		TOTAL VISITS	1,121	74.	25	159	144	125	8 8	នដ្	213	25	418	<del>,</del>										110		118	5 7	24	. w	12	8 8	2.5	13	276	9 5	38	18	117	91	8 2	5 P	٥	4 ,	428	<u>-</u>	-	10,680
MILITARY BENEFIC	NOT ENRC OUTPATIEN		OUTSTANDING BALANCE	0\$	9	S	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b>	9	9 6	9	\$0	\$0	0\$								05				\$1			<b>₩</b>	0\$	0	9	\$	<del>.</del>	9	S	80	\$2	₽ <b>?</b>	F 4	8	0\$	0	5 <b>.</b>		\$28	
			WRITE-OFF AMOUNT				•																	99	<b>S</b>	<b>₩</b>	\$56	9	244	\$17	\$33	\$45 845	<b>S</b>	\$27	\$37	455 444	\$28	\$105	\$52	858	8.00 9.00	\$22	\$13	\$20	£4.0	\$29	\$97	9 27 27
		FY 97	AMOUNT COLLECTED	\$86	#3/ #74	\$86	\$253	\$117	\$157	\$76	\$22	\$110	\$84	\$82	45	8171	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	\$188	\$125	\$131	\$100	\$115	\$210	\$110	0\$	Q Q	\$72		#139 #144	\$50	\$39	\$160 \$100	<b>8</b>	\$30	\$74	70¢	\$24	\$12	\$21	\$54	\$78 \$28	\$39	\$26	\$78	25¢	\$50	\$83	200
ļ		ır	AMOUNT BILLED C	\$128	61.19	\$139	\$371	\$171	\$221	\$167	4150	\$187	\$142	\$136	\$148 8	444	4 5	\$278	\$178	\$210	\$158	\$165	625	£185	<b>8</b>	<b>\$</b>	\$129	\$0¢	\$153	\$67	\$72	\$205	<b>S</b>	\$117	\$111	\$108 \$75	\$52	\$116	\$75	\$108	\$176	\$62	\$39	\$97	8.40 8.40	\$81	\$207	<b>6</b> 101
			TOTAL	946	252	29	፯	275	137	× 5	24	233	59	382	5 0	۰ د	4 6	405	245	7	986	257	۰ د	459	0	0	<del>1</del> 34	<u>پ</u>	147	60	9 (	9 %	30	က	168	707	37	7	9 6	328	262		_	= `	4 0	1922	24	10,020
	· ·		OUTPATIENT	INTERNAL MEDICINE	CARDIOLOGY	ENDOCRINOLOGY	GASTROENTEROLOGY	HEMATOLOGY	NEPHROLOGY	NEUKOLOGY	NOUGA	PULMONOLOGY	RHEUMATOLOGY	DERMATOLOGY	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	RADIATION THERAPY	PAIN CLINIC	GENERAL SURGERY	CARDIOVASCULAR	NEUROSURGERY	OPTHALMOLOGY	OTOLARYNGOLOGY	PLASTIC SURGERY	UROLOGY	VASCULAR SURGERY	ANESTHESIOLOGY	GYNECOLOGY	DESIETRICS BREAST CANCER	ORTHOPAEDIC	CAST	HAND SURGERY	POUNTRY	MENTAL HEALTH	SOCIAL WORK	FAMILY PRACTICE	OPTOMETRY	AUDIOLOGY	SPEECH THERAPY	COMMUNITY HEALTH	NAVCARE EMEDSENCY MEDICINE	PHYSICAL THERAPY	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	LABORA I ORY	IMMUNIZATIONS	PHARMACY	AMBULANCE	
			MEPRS	BAA	BAC	BAF	BAG	BAH	<b>8</b>	BA K	BAM	BAN	BAO	BAP	BAD	RAS	BAZ	BBA	888	BBC	980	98F	ב ב ב ב	8	BBK	BBL	808	3 2	BEA	BEB	BEC	RFA	BFD	BFE	A S	S HO	呈	뛺	出	E 4	Y 8	BLB	9 C	A 20	<u> </u>	<u>ن</u> کا ا	ΕĀ	

MANUALITE COPE   COLUSTINADINI   COLUMN   MANUALIT																	
MICHENAL DELINION   MICHES	MEDD		L		6					FY 98					FY 98		
MINTENNA, MENTONEN	COD						OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL		AMOUNT		OUTSTANDING BALANCE		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	ш.	OUTSTANDING
Commonwork   Com	BAB BAB	INTERNAL MEDICINE	0 0	0\$	<b>S</b>	O 6	<u>S</u>	146	\$106,560	\$2,232	\$91,788	\$12,540	-	\$35,085	\$12,997	\$20,134	\$1,953
PRINCEMENTOLOGY   0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	BAC	CARDIOLOGY	• •	S &	9 9	<b>S</b>	9	າ ເ	\$26,672	\$138	\$264	9		<b>2</b>	\$20	\$20	0\$
MANINGLOOP   0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	BAF	ENDOCRINOLOGY	0	<b>\$</b>	80	Q Q	09	φ	\$599	-\$1360	\$1,372	# <b>#</b>		43 595	-\$6,267	-\$8,482	0\$
NEWTONO	BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	0	င္တ	\$ \$	Ç,	9	92	\$499	-\$8,884	\$9,384	÷		-\$20,762	-\$1,362	-\$2,003	G C
NUMERING	A A	NEMALOLOGY NEPHROLOGY	0 0	9 6	0, 0	O\$ 6	<u> </u>	-13	-\$7,490	-\$17,707	\$10,217	₩.		-\$18,249	-\$6,661	-\$11,588	9
NUCHTICHON   0 59 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	BAK	NEUROLOGY	0	) ()	,	G 6	0,5	-12 5. 5.	\$11,655	-\$67 63 567	\$11,722	<i>\$</i>		-\$13,893	-\$7,114	-\$6,779	0\$
Particular   Par	BAL	NUTRITION	0	Ç,	\$	<b>S</b>	9	3 6	\$2,095	\$807	\$0,655	\$13C	۲-	-\$5,432	-\$2,046	-\$3,386	O\$ 6
PRINCINCIAN	BAM	ONCOLOGY	0 0	င္အ	0\$	<b>0\$</b>	9	-294	\$36,840	-\$37,853	\$564	\$449	2	\$18,644	\$8,224	\$10.176	-52 \$244
DEFMATICHOLOGY   DEFM	BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY	- 0	G G	Q G	S 5	9		\$15,643	-\$2,835	\$17,922	\$556	_	-\$21,157	-\$8,105	-\$12,854	-\$199
WeekTrigour Biesare   9	BAP	DERMATOLOGY	• •	\$ \$	S S	S S	9	4 8	\$494 494	-\$963	\$998 \$10 008	9	,	\$970	\$347	\$623	0\$
Maintain Headry   0	BAQ	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	0	<b>Q</b>	\$0	<b>\$</b>	80	7	\$1,672	\$531	\$1,141	9	4 φ	-\$2,521	\$1,22,7 -\$872	51,764	9
CARRIDOMSCIUMC         0	A A A	PATSICAL MEDICINE	0 0	G 6	08	0	OS I	4	\$620	\$208	\$412	\$	7	-\$620	-\$208	-\$412	9
CARDIOVASCULARY	BAZ	PAIN CLINIC	0	<b>2</b> 6	Q 6	G 6	9	395	\$55,695	\$24,350	\$31,345	<b>&amp;</b>	-159	-\$14,615	-\$6,431	-\$8,184	09
CARDIOLOGY         0         90	BBA	GENERAL SURGERY	•	င္တ	9	Ç,	80	-204	-\$63.416	-\$57.550	\$044 45,452	\$ 7 4 7 <del>8</del> 6	67.	-84,814	-\$1,920	-\$2,894	<b>S</b>
NEHROSUNGERY   0	888	CARDIOVASCULAR	0	<b>Q</b>	\$	\$0	\$0	138	-\$20,498	-\$16,759	-\$3.776	754	7 6	\$5,500 -\$14 184	\$2,099	\$3,502	9 60
OFFINAMOLICAY         0         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         100         50	980	NEUROSURGERY	0	<b>\$</b>	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	8	\$10,071	\$3,205	\$6,597	\$269		-\$3.643	-\$1,433	-43,099 -40,158	475
PACKTIC SIRPCERY   Color	0 E	OF I HALMOLOGY	0 0	G 6	O# 6	၀ (၃)	0	-125	\$4,577	-\$36,234	\$40,288	\$523		\$48,602	\$18,884	\$29,517	\$201
PROCYCLIOCY PROCYCLIACK PROCYC	986	PLASTIC SURGERY	0	Ç Ç	9 4	9 <del>5</del>	9	2 \$	\$8,903	-\$6,413	\$15,316	<b>9</b>	9	\$6,217	\$2,804	\$3,414	\$0
UROLIOGY         VALABLE         <	88H	PROCTOLOGY		<b>\$</b>	9 9	G 68	9	± 5	\$2,305	\$/38 \$6.18	\$1,567	S 6	₽ <b>(</b>	\$5,764	\$3,089	\$2,675	\$0
WASCULAR SURGERY         0         50         50         50         51	88	UROLOGY	0	<b>\$</b>	\$0	\$0	80		\$8,464	-\$18.406	\$26.870	9 4	2 2	\$2,178	\$42/	\$1,751	0 0
ONECOLOGY         OR 50         SO 50         SO 60         SO 60         SO 60         SO 60         SO 60         SO 70	¥ 20	VASCULAR SURGERY	0 0	င္တ ရ	င္အ	<b>₩</b>	\$0	19	\$23,760	\$12,404	\$11,140	\$216	: & 	\$22,023	\$11,497	\$10.326	\$200
OBSTERINGS         OF \$50         <	808 808	GYNECOLOGY	- c	g <b>g</b>	Ç	G 6	0	8 ¢	\$4,648	\$2,175	\$2,473	0\$	-58	-\$4,648	-\$2,175	-\$2,473	80
PREAST CANCER         6         \$1	ည္ထ	OBSTETRICS	0	S &	Ç Ç	9 6	9 6	٥	\$233	-\$3,302 \$462	\$3,963	-\$128 -\$128	-58	-\$394	-\$140	-\$254	\$0
CANTHOPAEDIC   CANT	BCD	BREAST CANCER	0	Q S	\$0	<b>S</b>	\$	75	\$15,161	\$4,521	\$10,640	A G	- 67	-\$113 \$1 774	-468 68.4	-\$45	0 0
MAIN DURGERY   Color   State   Color   State	BEA BEA	OKIHOPAEDIC	0 0	င္တ	Q (	္အ ရ	90	۲- ۱	\$1,632	-\$5,898	\$7,675	-\$145	129	\$40,109	\$17,229	\$22,881	-82
PSYCHIATRY         0         \$0	BEC	HAND SURGERY	• •	S S	G 69	<u></u>	9 6	ا ا	\$168	-\$160	\$328	0	ω;	\$832	\$286	\$546	\$0
Name	EF.	PODIATRY	0	တ္ဆ	\$0	S S	80	75	\$1,713	-850	\$1.766	016-	- K	\$3,636	\$1,382	\$2,254	0
MICHALLI   MICHALI   MICHALLI	BFA BFA	PSYCHIATRY	0 0	င္တ လ	\$0	<b>Q</b>	\$0	-10	-\$689	-\$1,365	\$676	S	73 7	-\$4,393	-\$7,235	-\$1,032	Z 6
FAMILY PRACTICE         6         50	BFE 3	SOCIAL MERLIN	<b>-</b>	S &	<b>9</b> €	င္အ င	000	2 5	\$3,297	\$1,402	\$1,895	80	22	\$6,351	\$2,701	\$3,650	9
PRIMARY CARE         0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$170         \$36,248         \$25,636         \$11,389         \$177         \$11,222         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$177         \$14,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$174         \$17,489         \$17,499         \$17,409         \$17,499         \$17,499         \$17,499	BGA	FAMILY PRACTICE	0	<b>8</b>	<u></u>	g g	9 69	5 6	\$16,055	8228	\$1,143	80		-\$565	-\$230	-\$335	90
AUDIOLOGY   String	HA BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH BH	PRIMARY CARE	0 (	<b>\$</b>	\$0	\$	9	470	-\$36,248	-\$25,036	-\$11,389	\$177	4 4	-\$12,223	-\$18.768	326,785	\$0 \$035
SPEECH THERAPY         50	3 5	AUDIOLOGY	<b>&gt;</b> C	G &	9	G 6	0	۰,	\$314	\$237	\$223	-\$146	4	\$738	\$293	\$445	80
COMMUNITY HEALTH         0         \$0         \$0         \$0.5703         \$1,070         \$87,191         \$1,814         \$61,914	뿚	SPEECH THERAPY	0	8	e e	9 6	9 6	<del>.</del> 4	4014	4104	\$268	0\$	ტ (	-\$365	-\$139	-\$226	0\$
NAVCARE         NAVCARE <t< td=""><td>井</td><td>COMMUNITY HEALTH</td><td>0</td><td><b>S</b></td><td><b>\$</b></td><td>S S</td><td>9 6</td><td><u> 6</u></td><td>\$5,760</td><td>\$3.703</td><td>\$1,675</td><td>\$ \$0 88</td><td>-10</td><td>-\$1,814 65,680</td><td>-\$619</td><td>-\$1,195</td><td>09</td></t<>	井	COMMUNITY HEALTH	0	<b>S</b>	<b>\$</b>	S S	9 6	<u> 6</u>	\$5,760	\$3.703	\$1,675	\$ \$0 88	-10	-\$1,814 65,680	-\$619	-\$1,195	09
EMERICINE         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$2.41,522         \$1,622         \$1,753         \$1,753         \$1,732         \$1,400           PHYSICAL THERAPY         0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$1         -\$1,64         -\$1,539         -\$2,732         -\$1,400           PHYSICAL THERAPY         0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$1         -\$1,64         -\$6,399         -\$2,691         -\$1,64         -\$1,595         \$4,984         \$1           PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO         0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         -106         -\$4,142         -\$2,904         -\$1,138         \$0 </td <td>H</td> <td>NAVCARE</td> <td>0</td> <td><b>&amp;</b></td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>O\$</td> <td>482</td> <td>\$25,620</td> <td>\$3,993</td> <td>\$19,883</td> <td>\$1.744</td> <td>-164</td> <td>-\$12.215</td> <td>-\$2,839</td> <td>-\$4,124</td> <td>184-</td>	H	NAVCARE	0	<b>&amp;</b>	\$0	\$0	O\$	482	\$25,620	\$3,993	\$19,883	\$1.744	-164	-\$12.215	-\$2,839	-\$4,124	184-
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY         0         50 <td>A 10</td> <td>EMERGENCY MEDICINE</td> <td>ه د</td> <td>G 6</td> <td>၀္ မ</td> <td>S 6</td> <td>9</td> <td>82</td> <td>-\$1,622</td> <td>-\$14,270</td> <td>\$12,753</td> <td>-\$105</td> <td>-24</td> <td>-\$2,732</td> <td>-\$1,400</td> <td>-\$1,317</td> <td>-\$15</td>	A 10	EMERGENCY MEDICINE	ه د	G 6	၀္ မ	S 6	9	82	-\$1,622	-\$14,270	\$12,753	-\$105	-24	-\$2,732	-\$1,400	-\$1,317	-\$15
PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO         6         50 </td <td>BLB</td> <td>OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY</td> <td></td> <td>9 6</td> <td>9 6</td> <td>Q C</td> <td><u>,</u></td> <td>117-</td> <td>-\$9,40/</td> <td>-\$6,399</td> <td>-\$2,961</td> <td>-\$47</td> <td>244</td> <td>\$15,956</td> <td>\$4,984</td> <td>\$10,972</td> <td>\$0</td>	BLB	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY		9 6	9 6	Q C	<u>,</u>	117-	-\$9,40/	-\$6,399	-\$2,961	-\$47	244	\$15,956	\$4,984	\$10,972	\$0
LABORATORY	BLC	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO		Ş	0\$	\$ <b>\$</b>	0\$	109	-\$4,142	-\$3,228	- 100 - 133 - 338	-\$62 40	2 2	\$2,288	\$361	\$1,927	<u></u>
MADIOLOGY   0 \$0 \$0 \$0   1 \$147 -\$8 \$154 \$1 6 \$429 \$175     MANUATONS   0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$149 \$1,286 \$1881 \$3,395 \$20 -399 -\$7,874 -\$4,042     MANUANCE   0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$12 -\$3,445 -\$1,370 \$9,921 \$1,2848   -762 -\$59,424 \$31,522 -\$4,042     AMBULANCE   0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 -12 -\$3,445 -\$1,370 \$36,917,57 \$7,659 -\$7,67 \$9,921 \$4,454 \$31,522 -\$4,042     AMBULANCE   0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 51,84860 -\$224,566 \$331,757 \$7,659 -70,57 \$44,732 \$4,473	DBA	LABORATORY	•	<b>0</b> \$	\$0	\$0	0\$	က	-\$39	-\$650	\$612	.8	7	9600	4148	4083	G 5
MBULANCE  AMBULANCE  O \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1.296 \$3.881 \$3.395 \$20 -359 -\$7,874 -\$4,042  AMBULANCE  O \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1.2 -33,445 \$3,146 -\$660 -11 -\$1,454 \$15,000  O \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1.2 -\$1,379 \$1,370 \$4,042  -\$60 \$0 \$0 \$1.2 -\$1,370 \$1,370 \$1,340 \$1,570 \$	Y E	KADIOLOGY IMMI INIZATIONS	00	င္တ ရ	င္တ မ	S &	80	- ;	\$147	88	\$154	\$3	. φ	\$429	\$157	\$224	\$48
AMBULANCE 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1.2746 -\$134,056 -\$1.406 -10 \$1.406 -\$1.500 -11 -\$1,456 -\$15,406 -10 \$1.406 -\$1.500 -11 -\$1,456 -\$1,406 -\$1.500 -11 -\$1,456 -\$1,406 -11 -\$1,456	20 20	PHARMACY	, 0	}	ş 🕃	) (4)	2 6	349 -137	\$7,296 -\$4,698	\$3,881	\$3,395	\$20	-399	-\$7,874	-\$4,042	-\$3,814	818
\$0 \$0 \$0 51 \$184,850 -\$234,566 \$391,757 \$27,659,-2,057 \$89,201 \$44,479	FEA	AMBULANCE	0	30	80	\$0	\$		-\$3,445	-\$1,379	-\$1,406	412,040 -\$660	-/02 -11	-\$59,424	-\$31,522	-\$30,009	-\$7,892
8/44.4.4			0	\$0	<b>0</b> €	\$0	\$0		\$184,850	-\$234,566	\$391,757	\$27,659	-2,057	-\$89.201	-\$44,479	-\$38.356	-\$6 366

						MILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGE 65 AND OLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME OUTPATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Percentage Change)	BENEFICI OLLED IN EVENUE /	ARIES AGE TRICARE S ANALYSIS (I	WILITARY BENEFICIARIES AGE 65 ÂND OLDEI NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME PATIENT REVENUE ANALYSIS (Percentage Ch	ER E hange)						
				FY 97					FY 98					FY 99		
MEPRS	OUTPATIENT CLINIC	TOTAL AM	AMOUNT BILLED (	AMOUNT COLLECTED	WRITE-OFF C	OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL VISITS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT COLLECTED	WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING BALANCE	TOTAL	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	WRITE-OFF	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
BA BA	INTERNAL MEDICINE	%0	%0	%0 0	%0	%0	15%	85%	3%	223%	3522%	+-	1≈∶	15%	15%	15%
BAC	CARDIOLOGY	* % 0	88	88	5 8	800	2.4 % %	89% 89%	26% 28%	66% 191%	% 0 0	-13%	3%	3%	3%	% &
BAF	ENDOCRINOLOGY	%0	%	%0	%0	%0	-12%	%9	-24%	55%	88	_	%9E-	%9E-	%98-	5 6
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	88	8 8	%8	%0	% 6	53%	% 5	-34%	77%	-100%		-53%	-53%	-53%	%0
8	NEPHROLOGY	58	కీ కి		% % 0	% 6	\$ 6 8 6	38%	-55% -0%	69%	88	47%	46%	46%	46%	%0
BAK	NEUROLOGY	%	%	%0	%	% 0	130%	231%	173%	280%	88	-28%	%% 96%	%% %% %%	%86. 96%	8 8
BAL	NUTRITION	% 8	% 8	% 8	% 8	%0	40%	114%	91%	121%	%0	10%	-1%	-1%	-1%	%
BAN	PULMONOLOGY	8 %	58	5 °	5 8	800	\$ 6 6	%Zç-	-11%	% 8 8 8 8	44900%	38%	54%	54%	54%	54%
BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY	%0	%	%	%0	%o	-14%	2 %	-39%	%09 •	80	78%	23% 23%	23%	23%	8 8
BAP BAD	DERMATOLOGY	% %	% 8	88	% è	%0	86	-1%	-35%	26%	-100%	-0	%9	%9	%9	%0
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE	88	88	88	88	8 8	%	% 0 % 0	65% 0%	81% 0%	% % 0 C	-59%	-65%	-65%	-65%	88
BAS	RADIATION THERAPY	%0	%0	%	%0	%0	19750%	19750%	8635%	8 %		889	-26%	-26%	-26%	88
BAZ	GENERAL SUBGERY	% %	88	%0 %	% 8	%0	-31%	2%	-18%	29%	%0	`\	-100%	-100%	-100%	%0
888	CARDIOVASCULAR	8 %	88	8 8	8 8	800	ان- پروئ	5/5 8/4 8/8	-76%	-15%	-100%		12%	12%	12%	%0
BBC	NEUROSURGERY	%	%	%	%0	%0	214%	343%	175%	594%	%0		-28%	-28%	5. 58. 8. 8.	% % G
98	OPTHALMOLOGY OTO: 150	% 8	%	%0	%0	%0	-13%	3%	-37%	71%	374%	_	30%	30%	30%	30%
886	PLASTIC SURGERY	కి కి	8 8	% % 0 0	% &	%0°	700%	21%	-22%	119%	%0		12%	12%	12%	%0
<b>BB</b> H	PROCTOLOGY	%	88	8 %	%	8 0	%0	%0 */7!	% 0 0	%/c <del>1</del>	* % O	808	140%	140%	140%	% %
188	UROLOGY	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	1%	10%	-34%	89%	%0	-15%	2%	2%	2%	8 8
¥ 8	VASCULAR SURGERY	% %	% 8	% &	% 8	%0	% 6	%0	%0	%	%0		93%	93%	93%	%0
808 808	GYNECOLOGY	5 %	8 %	8 %	8 8	% % O	, 5 % %	8 8 5 6	.34%	53% 53%	%0 0	-100%	.100%	-100%	-100%	%0
BCC	OBSTETRICS	%	%	%0	%	80	%	8 %	%	% %	%0 %66-	<b>0</b> . 0	42.8	42%	%Z- %CP-	-5%
BCD BEA	BREAST CANCER	* %	% 8	%8	%6	%0	469%	467%	178%	1514%	%0		10%	10%	10%	%0
88	CAST	8 %	88	58	8 8	% S	, ç	3,1%	\$ \$	126%	-101% 	95%	166%	166%	166%	166%
BEC	HAND SURGERY	%	%0	%0	%0	%0 •	-74%	-73%	-81%	-63%	-100%		404%	404%	404%	88
BFA	PSYCHIATRY	88	% 6	% %	%0	%0	400%	140%	%5.	661%	%0	-85%	-80%	-80%	-80%	%0
BFD	MENTAL HEALTH	%	8 %	8 %	%	5° 5°	% 0 0	 %0	%85- %0	\$6 % %	888	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	%0
BFE	SOCIAL WORK	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	333%	489%	213%	1394%	800		-27%	-27%	-27%	% % 0
BHA A	PRIMARY CARE	% &	% %	% %	%	%	64%	86%	2%	257%	-100%	_	-35%	-35%	-35%	%0
H H	OPTOMETRY	%	%	88	%6	800	%0	10%	20%	-15% 12%	.4% -100%		4 <u>2</u> % %	21%	474 878	47%
유	AUDIOLOGY	%	%	%	%0	%0	-3%	%6	-12%	76%	%0		-17%	-17%	-17%	800
H H	COMMINITY HEALTH	% % 5 6	% % 5 6	% %	888	%0	800%	1134%	4148%	802%	%0	-56%	-63%	-63%	-63%	%0
HH BH	NAVCARE	88	88	88	%0	%0	147%	72%	22%	, 112%	%51.1 %0	\$ %	-51% -20%	-51% -20%	-61%	-61%
BIA G	EMERGENCY MEDICINE	%0	%	%0	%0	%0	-18%	-5%	-27%	25%	-20%	-2%	4 8 8	4 %	4 %	8 %
2 E	PHYSICAL I HERAPY	8 8	%%	% &	% 0	% 0	81%	-76%	%88°	%09°	-100%	478%	549%	549%	549%	%0
BLC	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO		8 %	88	%	% 0 0	-100%	-100%	-35%	-55% -100%	%00L- %0	% 50 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 7	220%	220%	220%	% 8
DBA	LABORATORY	%	% 6	%0	%0	%0	27%	4%	-16%	283%	%0	-10%	-58%	-58%	-58%	%0
¥ <u>19</u>	MMUNIZATIONS	% č	% % O C	% č	%°	%°	25%	80%	%9- 25-26	856%	%e,		130%	130%	130%	130%
ည	PHARMACY	88	5 %	80	58	%0		%//c -3%	-29%	452% 18%	294%		-92% -46%	-92% -46%	-92% -46%	00.4
FEA	AMBULANCE	%0	88	%0	%0	%0	_	%69 <del>-</del>	%69-	-61%	-100%		-95%	-100%	-92%	%0
		%5	Š	%O	%0 0	%0	%0	13%	-26%	%92	353%	-19%	%9-	%/-	-4%	-18%

## APPENDIX D

						TRICARE S OUTPA	TRICARE SENIOR PRIME ENROLLEE OUTPATIENT COST ANALYSIS	ENROLLER	S							
_			EV 01	-												
000	Titality		FT 3/		ľ		ا -	- 1					FY 99			
CODE		VISITS	PER VISIT	COSTS	ACTUAL VI	% CHG	ACTUAL 1 °	% CHG	ACTUAL T	COSTS % CHG	ACTUAL 1 %	ISITS %CHG	COST PER V	AISIT CHO	ACTI IAI	COSTS
BAA	INTERNAL MEDICINE	336	\$129.58	\$43,539	446	33%	\$162.71	26%	\$72,569	67%		%95	\$203.59	25%	\$141,495	95%
BAB	ALLERGY CARDIOLOGY	3 9	\$133.88	\$1,339	9 9	% &	\$133.18	-1%	\$1,332	-1%	က	-70%	\$143.89	8%	\$432	-68%
A P	ENDOCRINO! OGY	7	\$65.91	\$6,100	/SI 8	82%	\$146.80	12%	\$20,112	230%	90	34%	\$264.96	80%	\$23,846	19%
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY	32	\$141.64	\$4.532	° 09	88%	\$183.27	26%	\$10,996	143%	~ ee	37%	\$218.28	20%	\$1,528	40%
BAH	HEMATOLOGY	29	\$248.39	\$7,203	8 8	%	\$287.70	16%	\$8,631	20%	8 8	\$ °	\$467.52	83%	\$10,436 \$8.415	۶ ۶٬
BA.	NEPHROLOGY	15	\$183.00	\$2,745	11	-27%	\$289.12	28%	\$3,180	16%	; <del>L</del>	%	\$368.52	27%	\$4,054	27%
BAK	NEUROLOGY	<b>6</b>	\$258.61	\$2,069	17	113%	\$256.25	-1%	\$4,356	111%	=	-35%	\$369.71	44%	\$4,067	-1%
BAL	NOTRITION ONCOLOGY	19	\$44.60	\$847	7 5	26%	\$26.71	40%	\$641	-24%	8 8	58%	\$68.86	158%	\$2,617	308%
BAN	PULMONOLOGY	2 2	\$161.96	\$11,661	23 =	1%	\$190.00	27%	\$2,700	25%	33	247%	\$207.32	31%	\$12,232	353%
BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY	. 6	\$131.06	\$1,180	2 ₽	22%	\$176.70	35%	\$1,944	65%	<u>,</u>	-18%	\$225.27	27%	\$13,019	% 7%
ВАР	DERMATOLOGY	132	\$94.73	\$12,504	155	17%	\$143.15	21%	\$22,188	77%	125	-19%	\$176.87	24%	\$22,109	%0
BAO G	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	7	\$134.47	\$269	- (	-20%	\$210.44	26%	\$210	-22%		-100%	\$276.45	31%	<b>\$</b>	-100%
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE	•	\$174.32	0, 0	e 6	% 8	\$147.89	-15%	\$444	%		-100%	\$231.68	22%	\$0	-100%
RA7	PAIN OF INIC	۳	\$55.82	\$335	7 <u>0</u> 1	7 %	\$115.33	% 6	\$18,845	%0	<u>ş</u>	-35%	\$155.85	34%	\$16,520	-12%
98 9	GENERAL SURGERY	68	\$275.88	\$24 553	20	21%	\$421.04	23%	\$29 473	20%	o g	16%	\$0.00 6654 43	3,62	\$00 744	2 5
888	CARDIOVASCULAR	72	\$161.33	\$11,616	37	49%	\$263.76	63%	\$9.759	-16%		-10%	5319.59	22%	\$4.155	57%
BBC	NEUROSURGERY	9	\$353.19	\$1,060	15	400%	\$402.82	14%	\$6,042	470%	90	-100%	\$584.09	45%	Ç.	100%
088	OPTHALMOLOGY	279	\$154.53	\$43,114	227	-19%	\$181.89	18%	\$41,289	%		%	\$236.20	30%	\$53,381	29%
10 C	OTOLARYNGOLOGY	<b>2</b> 8 °	\$288.46	\$24,231	69	-18%	\$301.21	4%	\$20,783	-14%		16%	\$459.27	25%	\$36,742	77%
ם ם ם	PLASTIC SURGERY	0	\$230.97	386,13	۲,	183%	\$318.49	38%	\$5,414	291%		53%	\$411.46	29%	\$10,698	%86
8 8	URDLOGY	128	\$176.00	\$22 528	981	8 8	\$73.37 \$241.48	37%	7000	% å	2 5	133%	\$255.50	247%	\$5,366	710%
98K	VASCULAR SURGERY	0	\$0.00	0\$	18	%	\$147.09	%	\$2,648	6 6	8	267%	\$95.81	-35%	\$6.323	139%
BBL	ANESTHESIOLOGY	•	\$0.00	င္အ	80	%0	\$201.75	%0	\$1,614	%0	0	-100%	\$166.95	-17%	\$ \$	-100%
88	GYNECOLOGY	32	\$222.12	\$7,774	%;	-3%	\$189.41	-15%	\$6,440	-17%	32	%9-	\$215.04	14%	\$6,881	%2
EA E	ORTHOPAEDIC	9	\$370.96	\$21.516		%0cr	\$192.12	12%	\$2,882	12%	9 2	20%	\$568.62	36%	\$10,235	255%
BEB	CAST	4	\$153.55	\$614	9	20%	\$255.64	%99 99	\$1,534	150%	1 53	83%	\$236.53	.7%	\$2,602	70%
BEC	HAND SURGERY	18	\$110.50	\$1,989	9	%29-	\$210.72	91%	\$1,264	36%	4	133%	\$179.13	-15%	\$2,508	%86
מ ה ה	PODIATRY	0 4	\$92.93	\$0	ωţ	% 6	\$99.49	%2	\$796	%0	ω,	-38%	\$127.95	29%	\$640	-20%
5	MENTAL HEALTH	20	80.00	0,0	13 -	8 %	\$130.10	800	\$4,515 \$1,359	% 0	) 13	%PGL-	\$0.00	%0%	\$0	%0
BFE	SOCIAL WORK	-	\$65.02	\$65	-	%	\$123.24	%06	\$123	%06	8 &	200%	\$390.32	217%	\$3.123	2434%
BG E	FAMILY PRACTICE	7	\$62.28	\$4,422	130	83%	\$89.60	44%	\$11,648	163%	106	-18%	\$107.12	20%	\$11,355	-3%
Z Z	OPTOMETRY	320	\$99.92	\$34,972	207 0	%67-	\$128.21	28%	\$33,847	% ?	157	4 5	\$171.09	33%	\$26,861	-21%
유	AUDIOLOGY	2	\$14.41	\$144	9 0	2 % 9 %	\$22.11	53%	\$133	4 eq	17	133%	\$65.42	784%	\$1,857	231%
BHF	COMMUNITY HEALTH	2	\$61.90	\$1,300	29	219%	\$94.42	23%	\$6,326	387%	: 12	%69	\$66.07	30%	\$1,387	-78%
H :	NAVCARE	40	\$59.86	\$2,394	97	143%	\$70.94	19%	\$6,881	187%	40	-59%	\$68.24	%	\$2,730	%09-
₩.	EMERGENCY MEDICINE	9 9	\$223.45	\$22,345	108	%8	\$284.33	27%	\$30,708	37%	119	10%	\$346.35	25%	\$41,216	34%
8 8 8 8	PHYSICAL THERAPY	100	\$44.85	\$4,485	E -	-87% -98%	\$49.26	10%	\$640	%98- 98%	157	1108%	\$56.66	15%	\$8,896	1289%
3 2 3	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	30	\$29.61	\$888	. 0	-100%	\$0.00	-100%	} &	-100%	30	%0 **0	\$0.00	%0	50 \$0	%0
亞	IMMUNIZATIONS	19	\$12.26	\$233	128	574%	\$20.06	64%	\$2,568	1002%		-95%	\$10.21	49%	\$71	%26-
Į.	AMBULANCE	2 500	\$57.07	\$57	9 750	200%	\$34.25	40%	\$103	80%	0	-100%	\$33.03	4%	05	-100%
		N		-	2017	2	100.20	9/01	9404,420	107.72	2,701	0,1	\$221.93	32%	191,104	35%
										-						

## APPENDIX D

					MILITA NOT E	RY BENEFI INROLLED OUTPATIE	MILTARY BENEFICIARIES AGE 65 AND OLDE NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENIOR PRIME OUTPATIENT COST ANALYSIS	66 AND OL SENIOR PRI ALYSIS	DER							
			FY 97				FY 98						FY 99			
MEPRS	OUTPATIENT	TOTAL	COST PER VISIT	TOTAL	ACTIBL WSITS	<u> </u>	COST PER VISIT		TOTAL CO	COSTS %	TOTAL VIS	SITS % CHG	COST PER VI	SIT	ACTUAL COSTS	TS CHG
BAA	INTERNAL ME	122	\$129.58	\$126,341	╀	15%	_	26%	8	1	Ļ	'!	┨。	25%	4.	25%
BAB	ALLERGY	4	\$133.86	\$1,874	17	21%	\$133.18	-1%	\$2,264	21%	15	-12%	\$143.89	8%	\$2,158	-5%
BAC	CARDIOLOGY	252	\$85.91	\$21,649	354	40%	\$146.80	71%	\$51,967	140%	281	-21%	\$264.96	80%	\$74,454	43%
BAG	GASTROENTEROLOGY		\$162.94	\$14,731	55	53%	\$183.27	29%	\$29,140	%7- 88%	è 68	48%	\$275,15	20%	\$22,837	-22%
ВАН	HEMATOLOGY	275	\$248.39	\$68,307	144	48%	\$287.70	16%	\$41,429	-39%	77	47%	\$467.52	963%	\$35,999	-13%
BAJ	NEPHROLOGY	137	\$183.00	\$25,071	125	%6-	\$289.12	28%	\$36,140	44%	107	-14%	\$368.52	27%	\$39,432	%6
BAK	NEUROLOGY	27	\$258.61	\$6,982	62	130%	\$256.25	-1%	\$15,888	128%	45 C	-27%	\$369.71	44%	\$16,637	5%
BAN	ONCOLOGY	9 44	\$211.54	\$94,558	8 <u>6</u>	.66%	\$158.85	-25%	\$24,304	-10%	211	38%	\$207.32	31%	\$43,745	%08 80%
BAN	PULMONOLOGY	233	\$161.96	\$37,737	213	%6-	\$195.17	21%	\$41,571	10%	169	-21%	\$228.40	17%	\$38,600	-7%
BAO	RHEUMATOLOGY	23 38 38	\$131.06	\$3,801	7 18 18	-14%	\$176.70	35%	\$4,418	16%	32	28%	\$225.27 \$476.87	27%	\$7,209	63%
BAQ A	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	5	\$134.47	\$2,017	<u> </u>	%/-	\$210.44	26.8	\$2,946	46%	9	-57%	\$276.45	31%	\$1,659	8 4 8 8
BAR	PHYSICAL MEDICINE	•	\$174.32	Ç,		%0	\$147.89	-15%	\$592	%0	0	-100%	\$231.68	21%	\$0	-100%
BAS	RADIATION THERAPY	7	\$109.93	\$220		19750%	\$116.33	%0	\$46,183	%0	238	40%	\$155.85	34%	\$37,092	-20%
BBA	PAIN CLINIC GENERAL SURGERY	402	\$55.82	\$2,344	29 138	-51%	\$136.18	53%	\$3,949 \$83,366	-25%	157	-100%	\$0.00	3, 5	\$0.046	5 4 8 8
888	CARDIOVASCULAR	245	\$161.33	\$39,526		. %95-	\$263.76	63%	\$28,222	-29%	32	-67%	\$319.59	21%	\$11,186	%09-
BBC	NEUROSURGERY	14	\$353.19	\$4,945		214%	\$402.82	14%	\$17,724	258%	17	-61%	\$584.09	45%	\$9,930	-44%
880 1	OPTHALMOLOGY .	986	\$154.53	\$152,367	861	-13%	\$181.89	18%	\$156,607	3%	762	-1 % !	\$236.20	30%	\$179,984	15%
100	DIOLARYNGOLOGY	/c7	\$288.40	61617		8400	\$301.21	786	\$50,423 \$6,688	31767	177	7,70	\$458.27 \$411.48	7000	4101,499	746%
BBH	PROCTOLOGY	- 0	\$105.07	9		%	\$73.57	% % % %	\$1,471	8	98	95%	\$255.50	247%	\$9,965	22.2
88	UROLOGY	459	\$176.00	\$80,784	462	%	\$241.48	37%	\$111,564	38%	391	-15%	\$268.24	11%	\$104,882	% 9
8 E	VASCULAR SURGERY	0	\$0.00	<b>S</b>	£ 8	%	\$147.09	%6	\$16,180	%	209	%06	\$95.81	-35%	\$20,024	24%
188	ANESTHESIOLOGY	2,0	\$0.00	200 764	28	2 5	\$201.75	7 6%	\$5,649	25.0%	<b>-</b> 6	%00L-	\$166.95	-17%	\$0	100%
200	OBSTETRICS	<u> </u>	\$65.11	30	2 2	8 %	\$147.34	126%	\$295	%0 0		-24%	\$85.22	42%	\$85	-71%
BCD	BREAST CANCER	16	\$109.93	\$1,759	91	469%	\$192.12	%0	\$17,483	%0	62	-32%	\$568.62	196%	\$35,254	102%
BEA	ORTHOPAEDIC	147	\$370.96	\$54,531	140	-2%	\$325.10	-12%	\$45,514	-17%	269	95%	\$429.45	32%	\$115,522	154%
1 H	CASI	. é	\$153.55	\$1,228	Σ	74%	\$255.64	90.0	\$2,045	800	16	%00L	\$236.53	15%	\$3,784 \$4,120	82%
H	PODIATRY	ှိ မ	\$92.93	\$558	3 6	400%	\$99.49	2%	\$2,985	435%		-83%	\$127.95	29%	\$640	-79%
BFA	PSYCHIATRY	33	\$104.91	\$3,462	53	-30%	\$136.18	30%	\$3,132	-10%	•	-100%	\$0.00	%	<b>\$</b>	%
0 2	MENTAL HEALTH	. 0	\$0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	5 5	%0	\$104.52	% 8	\$2,195	72,10%	48	129%	\$138.53	33%	\$6,649	203%
BG L	FAMILY PRACTICE	168	\$62.28	\$10,463	276	64%	\$89.60	44%	\$24,730	136%	165	40%	\$107.12	20%	\$17,675	-29%
BHA	PRIMARY CARE	1252	\$99.95	\$125,100	782	-38%	\$128.21	28%	\$100,260	-20%	368	-53%	\$171.09	33%	\$62,961	-37%
2 E	OPTOMETRY	£ 5	\$66.02	\$2,839	43 A	% % 0 %	\$70.03	23%	\$3,011	90%	47	%6 6	\$88.42 462.82	26%	\$4,156 \$7,073	38%
品品	SPEECH THERAPY	- 7	\$114.07	\$228	<b>6</b>	800%	\$468.26	311%	\$8,429	3595%	ς <b>σ</b>	-56%	\$130.18	-72%	\$1,041	-88%
불	COMMUNITY HEALTH	48	\$61.90	\$2,971	117	144%	\$94.42	23%	\$11,047	272%	40	%99-	\$66.07	-30%	\$2,643	-76%
<u>∓</u> ;	NAVCARE	329	\$59.86	\$19,694	811	147%	\$70.94	19%	\$57,532	192%	647	-20%	\$68.24	% %	\$44,151	-23%
¥ 8	PHYSICAL THERAPY	262	\$44.85	\$11.751	5.5	-16%	\$49.26	10%	\$2.512	%67. %67.	342 295	478%	\$56.65	15%	\$16,432	565%
8.B	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	87	\$70.21	\$6,108	; <del>C</del>	%68-	\$68.63	-5%	\$686	%68~	37	270%	\$45.92	-33%	\$1,699	148%
BLC	PHYSICAL THERAPY-NEURO	106	\$29.61	\$3,139	0	-100%	\$0.00	-100%	0\$	-100%	0	%	\$0.00	%0	80	%0
A C	LABORATORY RADIOI OGY	-	\$7.29	\$30	5. c.	27%	\$9.34	34%	\$131	63%	13	120%	\$6.69 \$38.66	-28%	\$87	-33%
E E	IMMUNIZATIONS	79	\$12.26	\$963	428	442%	\$20.06	64%	\$8,586	786%	59	-93%	\$10.21	49%	\$296	-97%
FEA	AMBULANCE	24	\$57.07	\$1,370	12	-20%	\$34.25	40%	\$411	-70%	+	-92%	\$33.03	4%	\$33	-92%
		8,707	\$149.44	\$1,301,159	8,895	2%	\$167.03	12%	\$1,485,715	14%	7603	-15%	\$222.53	33%	\$1,691,877	14%

## APPENDIX E

	***		CARE SENIOR PRIME EVENUE ANALYSIS	
		TDICADE	ENIOR PRIME ENROLLEES	
			AL INPATIENT TOTALS	
VEAD	NUMBER OF	AVG. PER	TOTAL	
YEAR 1998	ADMISSIONS 642	ADMISSION	REVENUE	
1999	686	\$2,866 \$1,815	\$1,840,150 \$1,245,646	
TOTAL CHANGE	44	(\$1,051)	(\$594,504)	
PERCENTAGE CHANGE	7%	-37%	-32%	
FSTI	MATED FISCAL	YEAR 1999 TOTA	ALS BASED ON 20% REVENUE LOSS PER	ADMISSION
2011	NUMBER OF	AVG. PER	TOTAL	ADMINOSION
YEAR	ADMISSIONS	ADMISSION	REVENUE	
1998	642	\$2,866	\$1,840,150	
1999	686	\$2,293	\$1,572,998	
TOTAL CHANGE	44	(\$573)	(\$267,152)	
PERCENTAGE CHANGE	7%	-20%	-15%	
LOSS DUE TO REVENUE	PER ADMISSION	N DECREASE =	(\$367,866)	
GAIN DUE TO INCREASE	IN TSP ADMISSI	ONS =	\$100,892	
ESTIMATED REVENUE LO			(\$266,974)	
ACTUAL REVENUE LOSS			(\$594,504)	
LOSS DUE TO CANCELL	ATION OF HEAL	TH INSURANCE	= (\$327,530)	
MIL		ACTU	DLDER NOT ENROLLED IN TRICARE SENI AL INPATIENT TOTALS	OR PRIME
	NUMBER OF	AVG. PER	TOTAL	
YEAR	ADMISSIONS	ADMISSION	REVENUE	<del></del>
1998	1061	\$2,548	\$2,703,850	
1999	732	\$2,047	\$1,498,725 (24,205,405)	
TOTAL CHANGE	(329)	(\$501)	(\$1,205,125)	
PERCENTAGE CHANGE	-31%	-20%	-45%	
LOSS DUE TO REVENUE	PER ADMISSION	DECREASE =	(\$531,561)	
LOSS DUE TO DECREAS	E IN NON-TSP AI	DMISSIONS =	(\$673,463)	
TOTAL DECREASE IN NO	N-TSP REVENUE	E (1998 TO 1999)	(\$1,205,024)	
	TO		DER BENEFICIARY POPULATION L OUTPATIENT TOTALS	
DECDEASE IN TED ENDO	I I EE OUTBATIE	NT DEVENUE -	. (\$0.72)	
DECREASE IN TSP ENRO LOSS DUE TO DECREASI			(\$972) TS = (\$44,479)	
TOTAL DECREASE IN TPO			TO 1999) = (\$45,451)	
		,		
RE\	ENUE CHANGE	S FROM 1998 TO	1999 ATTRIBUTABLE TO TRICARE SENI	OR PRIME
ACTUAL TPC REVENUE D	ECREASE (1998	TO 1999\ =	(\$1,845,080)	
TOTAL LOSS ATTRIBUTA				
PERCENTAGE LOSS ATT			51%	

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### LIST OF REFERENCES

- Department of Health and Human Services, Health Care Financing Administration. (May 1999) Testimony of Robert A. Berenson, M.D., Director, Center for Health Plans & Providers, Senate Finance Committee, [On-line] Available: http://www.hcfa.gov/testimony/1999/dodvet.htm
- 2. Department of Defense, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (August 1998) Medicare Demonstration of Military Managed Care-Memorandum of Agreement, [Online] Available: http://www.tricare.osd.mil/senior/medimemo.html
- 3. United States General Accounting Office. (May 1999) Medicare Subvention Demonstration. GAO Report to Congressional Gommittees, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Also available on-line: http://www.gao.gov
- 4. United States General Accounting Office. (February 1997) Defense Health Care. Testimony of Stephen P. Bachus, Director, Veterans' Affairs and Military Health Care Issues, House Committee on National Security, Subcommittee on Military Personnel, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Also available online: <a href="http://www.gao.gov">http://www.gao.gov</a>
- 5. Department of Defense, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (March 1998) Statement on the Military Health System. Testimony of Gary A. Christopherson, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), Senate Armed Services Committee, Subcommittee on Personnel, [On-line] Available: <a href="http://www.ha.osd.mil/main/pcasstate.html">http://www.ha.osd.mil/main/pcasstate.html</a>
- 6. Correll, J.T., "Issue No. 1," <u>Air Force Magazine</u>, February 1998.
- 7. The Retired Officers Association, Legislative Affairs. (August 1999) Medicare Subvention, [On-line] Available: http://www.troa.org/Legislative/HealthCare/Subvention.asp

- 8. Balanced Budget Act of 1997, 42 U.S.C. § 1395 <u>et seq</u>. (1997).
- 9. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Care Financing Administration. (August 1999) Overview of the Medicare Program, [On-line] Available: http://www.hcfa.gov/medicare/careover.htm
- 10.Gillert, D.J., "Getting the Care They Want, Seniors Flock to Naval Hospital," American Forces Press Service, June 1999. Also available on-line: http://www.tricare.osd.mil/main/news/art0610.html
- 11. National Defense Authorization Act of 1991, 10 U.S.C. § 1095 et seq. (1991).
- 12.Department of Defense, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (April 1999) Billing Guidance for Third Party Collections with the DoD Medicare Subvention Demonstration Project, [On-line] Available: http://www.tricare.osd.mil/ebc/ubo/ds.html
- 13. Buckley, J.W., Buckley, M.H., and Chiang, H., "Research Methodology & Business Decisions," <u>National Association</u> of Accountants, 1976.
- 14. Department of the Navy, Naval Medical Information
  Management Command. (April 1999) Third Party Outpatient
  Collections System, System Overview, [On-line] Available:
  http://www-nmimc.med.navy.mil/TPOCS/system.htm
- 15. Department of Defense, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (August 1999) Composite Health Care System, CHCS V4.6, [On-line] Available: http://cba.ha.osd.mil/projects/other/chcs/chcs-main.htm
- 16.Department of the Navy, Naval Medical Information Management Command. (July 1999) Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System, [On-line] Available: http://www-nmimc.med.navy.mil/meprs/
- 17. Maher, M., "Cost Accounting, Creating Value for Management," 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., <u>Irwin/McGraw-Hill</u>, 1997.

18. Williams, C., "Third Party Collection Program," <u>Naval</u> <u>Medical Center San Diego</u>, 1999.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center
2.	Dudley Knox Library
3.	Richard B. Doyle
4.	International Health Resources Management Program1 Attn: Captain James Scaramozzino, MSC, USN Naval Postgraduate School Code DH/RC Monterey, California 93943-5103
5.	Chief
6.	Commanding Officer
7.	Commander

•
1